DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 408 933 HE 030 278

AUTHOR Howe, Richard D.

TITLE Salary-Trend Studies of Faculty for the Years 1992-93 and

1995-96 in the Following Academic Disciplines/Major Fields:

Accounting, Art, General...Geology.

INSTITUTION Appalachian Consortium, Inc., Boone, N.C.; College and Univ.

Personnel Association, Washington, D.C.

PUB DATE 96

NOTE 228p.; For related document, see HE 030 279. For earlier

salary trends, see ED 386 966-967.

PUB TYPE Numerical/Quantitative Data (110) -- Reports - Research

(143)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC10 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Academic Rank (Professional); Accounting; Anthropology; Art;

Biological Sciences; Business Administration; Business Administration Education; Chemistry; *College Faculty; Comparative Analysis; *Compensation (Remuneration); Computer Science; Counseling; Counselor Training; Drama; Economics; Educational Administration; Engineering; English; English Literature; Ethnic Studies; Geography; Geology; Higher

Salaries; Technology Education; Trend Analysis

Education; Second Languages; Tables (Data); *Teacher

ABSTRACT

This document provides comparative salary trend data for full-time faculty at 212 public and 337 private colleges and universities, based on two surveys, one for the baseline year 1992-93 and the other for the "trend" year 1995-96. For each of the 25 disciplines, a summary review provides a definition of the discipline; information on average salaries by rank, including "new assistant professor"; faculty mix percentage; and comparisons between the two study years and the Consumer Price Index. Appended to the review of each discipline are lists of the 41 disciplines surveyed and the public and private institutions that participated in that segment of the study. Data and summary details are provided for the following disciplines/major fields: Art, General; Accounting; Administrative Assistant/Secretarial Science; Anthropology; Ethnic and Cultural Studies; Biological Science/Life Sciences; Business Administration and Management; Business Management and Administrative Services; Business/Managerial Economics; Business Marketing and Marketing Management; Chemistry; Communications; Computer and Information Science; Counseling Education/Student Counseling and Guidance Services; Curriculum and Instruction; Drama/Theater Arts, General; Economics, General; Education; Educational Administration and Supervision, General; Engineering; Engineering-Related Technologies; English Language Literature/Letters; Foreign Languages and Literatures; Geography; and Geology. (CH)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

* from the original document.



SALARY-TREND ARTICLES OF FACULTY FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96 IN THE FOLLOWING ACADEMIC DISCIPLINES/MAJOR FIELDS:

Art, General; Accounting; Administrative Assistant/Secretarial Science; Anthropology; Ethnic and Cultural Studies; Biological Science/Life Sciences; Business Administration and Management; Business Management and Administrative Services; Business/Managerial Economics; Business Marketing and Marketing Management; Chemistry; Communications; Computer and Information Science; Counseling Education/Student Counseling and Guidance Services; Curriculum and Instruction; Drama/Theater Arts, General; Economics, General; Education; Educational Administration and Supervision, General; Engineering; Engineering-Related Technologies; English Language Literatures/Letters; Foreign Languages and Literatures; Geography; and, Geology

by
Richard D. Howe
Appalachian State University
Boone, North Carolina 28608

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

- CENTER (ERIC)

 This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Richard D. Howe

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

11101102 0 1 110110

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



SALARY-TREND ARTICLES OF FACULTY FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96 IN THE FOLLOWING ACADEMIC DISCIPLINES/MAJOR FIELDS:

Art, General; Accounting; Administrative Assistant/Secretarial Science; Anthropology; Ethnic and Cultural Studies; Biological Science/Life Sciences; Business Administration and Management; Business Management and Administrative Services; Business/Managerial Economics; Business Marketing and Marketing Management; Chemistry; Communications; Computer and Information Science; Counseling Education/Student Counseling and Guidance Services; Curriculum and Instruction; Drama/Theater Arts, General; Economics, General; Education; Educational Administration and Supervision, General; Engineering; Engineering-Related Technologies; English Language Literatures/Letters; Foreign Languages and Literatures; Geography; and, Geology

by
Richard D. Howe
Appalachian State University
Boone, North Carolina 28608

FOREWORD

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, DC, in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, NC, have conducted annual national faculty salary surveys by discipline and rank each year through 1995-96. Two separate surveys are conducted each year, one for public senior colleges and universities and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data from the 1992-93 and 1995-96 surveys were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields, 25 of which are included herein as articles in alphabetical order. The academic disciplines/major fields were chosen from among those defined by \underline{A} Classification of Instructional Programs, 1990.

Each of the 25 academic disciplines/major fields herein presents a summary of the overall average salary increase in that academic discipline/major field from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96 for both public and private participating institutions. Of the 269 public institutions which participated in CUPA's public survey of 1992-93, 212 also participated in the 1995-96 survey. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's private survey of 1992-93, 337 also participated in the 1995-96 survey. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.

In addition to listing the average salaries in the 25 individual academic disciplines/major fields for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including "new assistant professor," and listing the faculty mix percentage (FAC MIX PCT) and the salary factor, comparisons are made in each of the 25 individual academic disciplines/major fields between the two public surveys and the two private surveys for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96) and with the CPI (Consumer Price Index) of changes in cost-of-living.

The overall list of 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields surveyed is found in Appendix A of each academic discipline/major field article included herein, and the lists of all participating senior colleges and universities are found in Appendixes B (public) and C (private) of each academic discipline/major field article included herein.



SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN ART, GENERAL FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (<u>CIP</u>), 1990, including Art. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of Art as,

An instructional program that generally describes art, including its development and practice. Includes instruction in art appreciation, a basic knowledge of art history, fundamental principles of desgin and color, and an introduction to various media and studio techniques.*

[*A <u>Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, [1990]. p. 165--50.0701).]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of Art for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.



This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of Art for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.

The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 31.3 for associate professors of Art in the 1992-93 public study



means that 31.3 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the <u>total</u> average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 0.92 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of Art in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is eight percent lower than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of Art with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



	PROF SALARY NUM N/IN			PF	SSO ROF <u>NUM N/IN</u>	ASST PROF SALARY NUM N/IN		NEW ASST PROF SALARY NUM N/IN			INSTRUCTOR SALARY NUM N/IN			ALL RANKS <u>SALARY NUM N/IN</u>		
	PUBLIC 1	DISCIPLINE: VISUAL AND PERFORMING ARTS JBLIC 1992-93: MAJOR FIELD: Art, General														
		50132	617 130	39955	526-132	32304	473 134	30379	66 4	43	25490	65	35	40979	1681	152
	FAC MIX PCT: SALARY	36.7%		31.3%		28.1%		3.9%			3.9%			100.0%		
	FACTOR:	0.92		0.92		0.90		0.88			0.95			0.93		
		ALL MAJOR FIELDS														
	AVERAGE SALARY:	54518	19682	43644	17249	36026	17758	34654	2434		26818	3879		43874	58568	212
	FAC MIX PCT:	33.6%		29.5%		30.3%		4.2%	ė		6.6%			100.0%		
PUBLIC: 1995-96: DISCIPLINE: VISUAL AND PRAYERAGE MAJOR FIELD: Art, G										ARTS	÷					
		54609	669 146	43431	525 137	35441	486 133	32376	91	5 5	27053	42	31	45119	1722	159
	PCT: SALARY	38.9%		30.5%		28.2%		5.3%			2.4%			100.0%		
	FACTOR:	0.92		0.92		0.91		0.89			0.93			0.94		
		ALL MAJOR FIELDS														
	AVERAGE SALARY: FAC MIX	59610	20428	47366	18254	38928	17820	36373	2811		291.06	3838		47858	60340	21.2
	PCT:	33.9%		30.3%		29.5%		4.7%			6.4%			100.0%		
								 .	·					·		
	PRIVATE,	DISCIPLINE: VISUAL AND PERFORMING ARTS MAJOR FIELD: Art, General														
			236 122	37779	261. 1.45	31.350	299 158	28873	44	39	28529	49	34	38124	845	226
	PCT: SALARY	27.9%		30.9%		35.4%		5.2%			5.8%			100.0%		
	FACTOR:	0.90		0.89		0.90		0.88			0.99			0.88		
	AVEDACE	VERAGE ALL MAJOR FIELDS														
	SALARY: FAC MIX.		11253	42331	10862	34956	11225	32785	1415		28932	1951		43137	35291	337
	PCT:			30.8%		31.8%	-	4.0%			5.5%			100.0%		•
	DISCIPLINE: VISUAL AND PERFORMING ARTS PRIVATE, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Art, General															
	AVERAGE	_	271 133	41724	295 153		290 158	·		20	29645	36	30	1.2602	902	2/41
	FAC MIX PCT:			33.1%		32.5%		5.0%		30	4.0%		30	42692 100.0%		241
	SALARY FACTOR:			0.90		0.90		0.91			0.97			0.90		
		,,,,		20		3.70	ALL MAJOR				3.77			0.90		
	AVERAGE SALARY:		110/.0	1,6467	11650	27001	11222		1007		20125	160:		17.70	26512	227
	FAC MIX			31.9%	11659	37984		36092				1684			36513	55/
	LOT!	32.10		31.76	•	30.7%		4.9%			4.6%			100.0%		



RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of Art was reported in 152 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 1,861 faculty was \$40,979. This average salary was approximately 7.1 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, Art was reported in 159 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 1,722 faculty was \$45,119. This average salary was approximately 6.1 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of Art in the public institutions studied was 10.1 percent (\$45,119 minus \$40,979 equals \$4,140). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in Art average faculty salaries over the three-year period by 1.7 percent or an average of 0.2 percent each year above the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of Art (10.1%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of 1.0 percent less than the faculty in the discipline/major field of Art.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in Art is higher at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 36.7percent vs. 28.1 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 38.9 percent vs. 28.2 percent. The



differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in Art in the public studies was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 3.1 percent (66/1,681) vs. 4.2 percent (2,434/58,568) and higher in 1995-96, 5.3 percent (91/1,722) vs. 4.7 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of Art was reported in 226 the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 845 faculty was \$38,124, an average salary 13.1 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 241 of the same 337 private institutions reported Art. The average salary of the 892 faculty was \$42,692, an average salary 11.2 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in Art in the private institutions studies was 14.6 percent (\$42,692 minus \$38,124 equals \$5,568). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of Art over the three-year time period, is 6.2 percent or 2.1 percent each year above the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus



\$43,137 equals \$4,326). In comparison to Art (14.6%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries 4.6 percent (14.6% minus 10.0 equals 4.6) less than faculty in Art.

For both studies in the discipline/major field of Art, the faculty mix percentage is lower at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 27.9 percent vs. 35.4 percent (1992-93); and 30.4 percent vs. 32.5 percent, (1995-96). The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Art was higher than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 5.2 percent (44/845) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and higher in the 1995-96 private study: 5.0 percent (45/892) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/major field of Art and compares that information with both ALL MAJOR FIELDS and
the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline year" of 1992-93
through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies--one for public institutions,
and the other for private institutions--were conducted for the baseline year
and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of 5,140 (2.7%)
faculty in the discipline/major field of Art participated and were included in
the 51 disciplines/major fields in each of the four studies and in the overall
total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public institutions and
the same 337 private institutions in the United States participated in the
baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a



variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of Art in 1992-93 were 7 percent and 12 percent below the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in Art in 1995-96 were 6 percent and 10 percent below the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in Art in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of .2 percent above the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was 2.1 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public studies in Art, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are higher than those for the assistant professor rank. However, in the 1992-93 private studies the FAX MIX PCTS were lower at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Art in the 1992-93 public study was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS. However, in the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1995-96 public study and in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 private studies was higher than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of Art has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.



Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

q



SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN ACCOUNTING FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (<u>CIP</u>), 1990, including Accounting. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of Accounting as,

An instructional program that prepares individuals to practice the profession of accounting and to perform related business functions. Includes instruction in accounting principles and theory, financial accounting, managerial accounting, cost accounting, budget control, tax accounting, legal aspects of accounting, auditing, reporting procedures, statement analysis, planning and consulting, business information systems, accounting research methods, professional standards and ethics, and applications to specific for-profit, public, and non-profit organizations.

[*A Classification of Instructional Programs (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, [1990]. p. 179-180--51.1601).]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of Accounting for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of



1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of Accounting for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.



"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.

The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline-/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 32.0 for associate professors of Accounting in the 1992-93 public study means that 32.0 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the <u>total</u> average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 1.22 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of Accounting in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is 22 percent higher than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of Accounting with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



NEW ASS0 ASST ASST **PROF** PROF **PROF** PROF INSTRUCTOR ALL RANKS SALARY NUM N/IN SALARY NUM DISCIPLINE: BUSINESS MANAGEMENT & ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES PUBLIC 1992-93: MAJOR FIELD: Accounting AVERAGE SALARY: 63487 327 107 53361 429 131 48011 479 138 50680 50 39 30225 107 55 52074 1342 146 FAC MIX PCT: 32.0% 35.7% 3.7% 8.0% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 1.16 1.22 1.33 1.46 1.13 1.19 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 54518 19682 36026 17758 43644 17249 34654 2434 26818 3879 43874 58568 212 FAC MIX PCT: 33.6% 29.5% 30.3% 4.2% 6.6% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: BUSINESS MANAGEMENT & ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES PUBLIC, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Accounting AVERAGE SALARY: 69896 59826 478 140 52582 420 132 366 113 53463 49 36 35482 103 56 58462 1367 153 FAC MIX PCT: 26.8% 35.0% 30.7% 7.5% 3.6% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 1.17 1.26 1.35 1.47 1.22 1.22 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 59610 20428 47366 18254 38928 17820 36373 2811 29106 3838 47858 60340 212 FAC MIX 33.9% PCT: 30.3% 29.5% 4.7% 6.4% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: BUSINESS MANAGEMENT & ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES PRIVATE, 92-93: MAJOR FIELD: Accounting AVERAGE 43200 342 160 43038 SALARY: 64298 155 82 51450 317 127 33 29 60 37 49384 874 204 35188 FAC MIX PCT: 17.7% 36.3% 39.1% 3.8% 6.9% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 1.18 1.22 1.24 1.31 1.22 1.14 ALL MAJOR FIELDS **AVERAGE** SALARY: 54539 11253 42331 10862 34956 11225 32785 1415 28932 1951 43137 35291 337 FAC MIX PCT: 31.9% 30.8% 31.8% 4.0% 5.5% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: BUSINESS MANAGEMENT & ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES PRIVATE, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Accounting **AVERAGE** SALARY: 71086 83 56191 340 135 48482 311 146 167 47173 26 32 55072 28 36110 53 871 208 FAC MIX PCT: 19.2% 39.0% 35.7% 3.2% 6.1% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 1.18 1.22 1.28 1.31 1.19 1.16 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 60032 11948 46167 11659 37984 11222 36092 1807 30425 1684 47463 36513 337 FAC MIX 32.7% PCT: · 31.9% 30.7% 4.9% 4.6% 100.0%

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of Accounting was reported in 146 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 1,342 faculty was \$52,074. This average salary was approximately 18.7 percent higher than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, Accounting was reported in 153 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 1,367 faculty was \$58,462. This average salary was approximately 22.2 percent higher than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,304 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of Accounting in the public institutions studied was 12.3 percent (\$58,462 minus \$52,074 equals \$6,388). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in Accounting average faculty salaries over the three-year period by 3.9 percent or an average of 1.3 percent each year above the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of Accounting (12.3%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of 3.2 percent less than the faculty in the discipline/major field of Accounting.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in Accounting is lower at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 24.4 percent vs. 35.7 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 26.8 percent vs. 30.7 percent. The



differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in Accounting in the public studies was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 3.7 percent (50/1,342) vs. 4.2 percent (2,434/58,568) and lower in 1995-96, 3.6 percent (49/1,367) vs. 4.7 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of Accounting was reported in 204 of the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 874 faculty was \$49,384, an average salary 14.5 percent higher than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 208 of the same 337 private institutions reported Accounting. The average salary of the 871 faculty was \$55,072, an average salary 16.0 percent higher than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in Accounting in the private institutions studies was 11.5 percent (\$55,072 minus \$49,384 equals \$5,688). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of Accounting over the three-year time period, is 3.1 percent or 1.03 percent each year above the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus



\$43,137 equals \$4,326). In comparison to Accounting (11.5%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries 1.5 percent (11.5% minus 10.0% equals 1.5%) less than faculty in Accounting.

For both studies in the discipline/major field of Accounting, the faculty mix percentage is lower at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 17.7 percent vs. 39.1 percent (1992-93); and 19.2 percent vs. 35.7 percent, (1995-96). The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 17.7 percent vs. 37.3 percent (1992-93) and 22.3 percent vs. 31.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Accounting was lower than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 3.7 percent (33/874) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and lower in the 1995-96 private study: 3.2 percent (28/871) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/major field of Accounting and compares that information with both ALL MAJOR
FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline year" of
1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies--one for public institutions, and the other for private institutions--were conducted for the baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of 4,454
(2.3%) faculty in the discipline/major field of Accounting participated and
were included in the 51 disciplines/major fields in each of the four studies
and in the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public
institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a



variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of Accounting in 1992-93 were 19 percent and 14 percent above the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in Accounting in 1995-96 were 22 percent and 16 percent above the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1991 CPI and indicates that the faculty in Accounting in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of 1.3 percent above the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was 1.03 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies in Accounting, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are lower than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of Accounting is still emerging in the academy.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Accounting in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public studies was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS. The hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 private studies was also lower than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of Accounting has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.



Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- $\ensuremath{\mathtt{B}}$ LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14

SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT/SECRETARIAL SCIENCE, GENERAL FOR THE YEARS

FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (CIP), 1990, including Administrative Assistant/Secretarial Science. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of Administrative Assistant/Secretarial Science as,

A group of instructional programs that prepare individuals to provide administrative and office support services. *

[*A Classification of Instructional Programs (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, [1990]. p. 190--52.0401).]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of Administrative Assistant/Secretarial Science for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those



same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of Administrative Assistant/Secretarial Science for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.



The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 26.4 for associate professors of Administrative Assistant/Secretarial Science in the 1992-93 public study means that 26.4 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the <u>total</u> average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 0.95 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of Administrative Assistant/Secretarial Science in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is five percent lower than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of Administrative Assistant/Secretarial Science with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



NEW ASS0 ASST ASST **PROF PROF** PROF PROF INSTRUCTOR ALL RANKS SALARY NUM N/IN DISCIPLINE: BUSINESS MANAGEMENT & ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES PUBLIC 1992-93: MAJOR FIELD: Administrative Assistant/Secretarial Science, General AVERAGE SALARY: 52008 63 23 41409 51 27 35811 54 31 40500 25199 25 16 41203 193 41 FAC MIX PCT: 32.6% 26.4% 28.0% 3.1% 13.0% 100.0% SALARY 0.95 FACTOR: 0.95 0.99 1.17 0.94 0.94 ALL MAJOR FIELDS **AVERAGE** SALARY: 54518 19682 43644 17249 36026 17758 34654 2434 26818 3879 43874 58568 212 FAC MIX PCT: 33.6% 29.5% 30.3% 4.2% 6.6% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: BUSINESS MANAGEMENT & ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES PUBLIC, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Administrative Assistant/Secretarial Science, General AVERAGE SALARY: 56452 21 64 45661 31 20 38825 40 2.3 40311 27600 18 46263 153 32 FAC MIX PCT: 41.8% 20.3% 26.1% 4.6% 11.8% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 0.95 0.96 1.00 1.11 0.95 0.97 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 59610 20428 47366 18254 38928 17820 36373 2811 47858 60340 212 29106 3838 FAC MIX PCT: 33.9% 30.3% 29.5% 4.7% 6.4% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: BUSINESS MANAGEMENT & ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES PRIVATE, 92-93: MAJOR FIELD: Administrative Assistant/Secretarial Science, General AVERAGE SALARY: 42400 34326 11 27914 19 12 20788 30415 43 24 FAC MIX PCT: 14.0% 25.6% 44.2% 100.0% 16.3% SALARY FACTOR: 0.78 0.81 0.80 0.72 0.71 ALL MAJOR FIELDS **AVERAGE** SALARY: 54539 11253 42331 10862 32785 1415 34956 11225 28932 1951 43137 35291 337 FAC MIX PCT: 31.9% 30.8% 31.8% 4.0% 5.5% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: BUSINESS MANAGEMENT & ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES PRIVATE, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Administrative Assistant/Secretarial Science, General AVERAGE SALARY: 41708 33380 8 27114 12 25990 1 1 19032 30644 17 28 FAC MIX 17.9% PCT: 28.6% 42.9% 3.6% 10.7% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 0.69 0.72 0.71 0.72 0.63 0.65 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 60032 11948 46167 11659 37984 11222 36092 1807 30425 1684 47463 36513 337. FAC MIX PCT: 32.7% 31.9% 30.7% 4.9% 4.6% 100.0%

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of Administrative Assistant/Secretarial Science was reported in 41 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 193 faculty was \$41,203. This average salary was approximately .9 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58.568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, Administrative Assistant/Secretarial Science was reported in 22 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 153 faculty was \$46,263. This average salary was approximately 3.4 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,304 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of Administrative Assistant/Secretarial Science in the public institutions studied was 12.2 percent (\$46,263 minus \$41,203 equals \$5,060). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in Administrative Assistant/Secretarial Science average faculty salaries over the three-year period by 3.8 percent or an average of 1.2 percent each year above the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of Administrative Assistant/Secretarial Science (12.2%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of 3.1 percent less than the faculty in the discipline/major field of Administrative Assistant/-Secretarial Science.



In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in Administrative Assistant/Secretarial Science is higher at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 32.6 percent vs. 28.0 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 41.8 percent vs. 26.1 percent. The differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in Administrative Assistant/Secretarial Science in the public studies was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 3.1 percent (6/193) vs. 4.2 percent (2,434/58,568) and higher in 1995-96, 4.5 percent (7/153) vs. 4.7 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of Administrative Assistant/Secretarial Science was reported in 24 of the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 43 faculty was \$30.415, an average salary 41.8 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 17 of the same 337 private institutions reported Administrative Assistant/Secretarial Science. The average salary of the 28 faculty was \$30,644, an average salary 30.0 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in Administrative Assistant/Secretarial Science in the private institutions



studies was .6 percent (\$30,644 minus \$30,415 equals \$229.00). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of Administrative Assistant/Secretarial Science over the three-year time period, is 7.8 percent or 2.6 percent each year below the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus \$43,137 equals \$4,326). In comparison to Administrative Assistant/Secretarial Science (.6%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries 9.4 percent (10.0% minus .6% equals 9.4%) more than faculty in Administrative Assistant/Secretarial Science.

For both studies in the discipline/major field of Administrative Assistant/Secretarial Science, the faculty mix percentage is lower at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 14.0 percent vs. 44.2 percent (1992-93); and 17.9 percent vs. 42.9 percent, (1995-96). The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 17.7 percent vs. 37.3 percent (1992-93) and 22.3 percent vs. 31.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Administrative Assistant/Secretarial Science was lower than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 0 percent (0/43) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and lower in the 1995-96 private study: .04 percent (1/28) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/-major field of Administrative Assistant/Secretarial Science and compares that



information with both ALL MAJOR FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies--one for public institutions, and the other for private institutions--were conducted for the baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of 417(.22%) faculty in the discipline/major field of Administrative Assistant/Secretarial Science participated and were included in the 51 disciplines/major fields in each of the four studies and in the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of Administrative Assistant/Secretarial Science in 1992-93 were 6 percent and 29 percent below the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in Administrative Assistant/Secretarial Science in 1995-96 were 3 percent and 35 percent below the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in Administrative Assistant/-Secretarial Science in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of 1.2 percent above the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was 2.6 percent below the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies in



Administrative Assistant/Secretarial Science, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are lower than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of Administrative Assistant/Secretarial Science is still emerging in the academy.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Administrative Assistant/Secretarial Science in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public study was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS; and in the 1992-93 and the 1996-97 private studies, the hiring rate was lower than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of Administrative Assistant/Secretarial Science has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.

Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN ANTHROPOLOGY FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs (CIP)</u>, 1990, including Anthropology. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of Anthropology as,

An instructional program that describes the systematic study of human beings, their antecedents and related primates, and cultural behavior and institutions, in comparative perspective. Includes instruction in biological /physical anthropology, primatology, human paleontology prehistoric archeology, hominid evolution, anthropological ethnology, ethnography, linguistice, ethnohistory, psychological anthropology, social-cultural anthropology, research methods, and applications to areas such as medicine, museum studies, and international forensic pathology, affairs.*

[*A <u>Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, [1990]. p. 143--45.0201).]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of Anthropology for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of



1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of Anthropology for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for



a given academic rank and discipline/major field.

The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 32.6 for associate professors of Anthropology in the 1992-93 public study means that 32.6 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the <u>total</u> average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 1.01 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of Anthropology in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is one percent higher than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of Anthropology with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of Anthropology was reported in 88 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 417 faculty was \$45,833. This average salary was approximately 4.4 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, Anthropology was reported in 89 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 433 faculty was \$49,013. This average salary was approximately 2.4 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of Anthropology in the public institutions studied was 6.9 percent (\$49,013 minus \$45,833 equals \$3,180). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in Anthropology average faculty salaries over the three-year period by 1.5 percent or an average of .5 percent each year above the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of Anthropology (6.9%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of 2.2 percent less than the faculty in the discipline/major field of Anthropology.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in Anthropology is lower at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 40.3 percent vs. 25.9 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 40.2 percent vs. 26.1 percent. The



differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in Anthropology in the public studies was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 4.3 percent (181/417) vs. 4.2 percent (2,434/58,568) and lower in 1995-96, 3.2 percent (14/433) vs. 4.7 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of Anthropology was reported in 76 of the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 229 faculty was \$46,713, an average salary 8.2 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 75 of the same 337 private institutions reported Anthropology. The average salary of the 252 faculty was \$50,536, an average salary 6.4 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in Anthropology in the private institutions studies was 8.1 percent (\$50,536 minus \$46,713 equals \$3,823). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of Anthropology over the three-year time period, is .3 percent or .1 percent each year below cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus



\$43,137 equals \$4,326). In comparison to Anthropology (8.1%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries 1.9 percent (10.0% minus 8.1% equals 1.9%) more than faculty in Anthropology.

For both studies in the discipline/major field of Anthropology, the faculty mix percentage is lower at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 40.3 percent vs. 25.9 percent (1992-93); and 40.2 percent vs. 26.1 percent, (1995-96). The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Anthropology was higher than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study:

3.4 percent (8/229) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and lower in the 1995-96 private study: 4.7 percent (12/252) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/major field of Anthropology and compares that information with both ALL MAJOR
FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline year" of
1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies--one for public
institutions, and the other for private institutions--were conducted for the
baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of 1,331
(.7%) faculty in the discipline/major field of Anthropology participated and
were included in the 51 discipline/major fields in each of the four studies and
in the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public
institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States
participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a



variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of Anthropology in 1992-93 were 4 percent and 8 percent above the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in Anthropology in 1995-96 were 2 percent and 6 percent above the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects an 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in Anthropology in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of .5 percent above the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was .1 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies in Anthropology, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are higher than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of Anthropology is firmly established and ongoing in the academy.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Anthropology in the 1992-93 public study was higher than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS. However, in the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1995-96 public study it was lower. The hiring rate was higher in the 1992-93 private study and lower in the 1995-96 private study.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of Anthropology has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.



Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN AREA, ETHNIC AND CULTURAL STUDIES FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (CIP), 1990, including Area, Ethnic and Cultural Studies. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of Area, Ethnic and Cultural Studies as,

A summary of groups of instructional programs that describe the history, society, politics, culture, and economics of a particular geographic region, or a particular subset of the population sharing common characteristics, traits and customs.**

[*A Classification of Instructional Programs (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, [1990]. p. 60--05.0000).]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of Area, Ethnic and Cultural Studies for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337



also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of Area, Ethnic and Cultural Studies for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.



The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 37.6 for associate professors of Area, Ethnic and Cultural Studies in the 1992-93 public study means that 37.6 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the <u>total</u> average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 1.12 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of Area, Ethnic and Cultural Studies in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is 12 percent higher than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of Area, Ethnic and Cultural Studies with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



NEW ASST ASST ASSO PROF PROF PROF PROF INSTRUCTOR ALL RANKS SALARY NUM N/IN SALARY NUM N/IN SALARY NUM N/IN SALARY NUM SALARY NUM N/IN SALARY NUM N/IN DISCIPLINE: AREA, ETHNIC, AND CULTURAL STUDIES MAJOR FIELD: Area, Ethnic, and Cultural Studies PUBLIC 1992-93: AVERAGE 29 SALARY: 55843 10 45531 165 19 34883 48 20 36344 30738 48675 62 19 11 45 FAC MIX 27.3% 37.6% 29.1% 6.7% 6.1% 100.0 PCT: SALARY 1.04% FACTOR: 1.02 1.12 0.97 1.05 1.15 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE 34654 2434 26818 3879 36026 17758 SALARY: 54518 19682 43644 17249 FAC MIX 30.3% 4.2% 6.6% 100.0% PCT: 33.6% 29.5% DISCIPLINE: AREA, ETHNIC, AND CULTURAL STUDIES MAJOR FIELD: Area, Ethnic, and Cultural Studies PUBLIC, 1995-96: AVERAGE 31988 51339 181 35 SALARY: 64495 20 53323 67 28 39642 50 26 34085 7 7 12 FAC MIX 3.9% 6.6% 100.0% 28.7% 37.0% 27.6% PCT: SALARY 0.94 1.10 1.07 1.02 FACTOR: 1.08 1.13 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 59610 20428 47366 18254 38928 17820 36373 2811 29106 3838 47858 60340 212 FAC MIX 6.4% 100.0% PCT: 33.9% 30.3% 29.5% 4.7% DISCIPLINE: AREA, ETHNIC, AND CULTURAL STUDIES PRIVATE, 92-93: MAJOR FIELD: Area, Ethnic, and Cultural Studies AVERAGE 19 45843 68 15 7 37200 1 SALARY: 55279 10 44007 30 15 36253 FAC MIX 22.1% 1.5% 100.0% 44.1% PCT: 32.4% SALARY 1.06 1.04 1.29 1.04 FACTOR: 1.01 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE 28932 1951 43137 35291 337 42331 10862 34956 11225 32785 1415 SALARY: 54539 11253 FAC MIX PCT: 4.0% 5.5% 100.0% 31.9% 30.8% 31.8% DISCIPLINE: AREA, ETHNIC, AND CULTURAL STUDIES MAJOR FIELD: Area, Ethnic, and Cultural Studies PRIVATE, 1995-96: AVERAGE 48209 39506 29 15 35954 25525 97 26 SALARY: 61535 47682 42 18 FAC MIX 43.3% 100.0% 24.7% 29.9% 9.3% 2.1% PCT: SALARY 1.04 1.00 0.84 1.02 FACTOR: 1.03 1.03 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE 37984 11222 36092 1807 30425 1684 47463 36513 337 SALARY: 60032 11948 46167 11659 FAC MIX 32.7% 31.9% 30.7% 4.9% 4.6% 100.0% PCT:

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of Area, Ethnic and Cultural Studies was reported in 29 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 165 faculty was \$45,531. This average salary was approximately 3.7 percent higher than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, Area, Ethnic and Cultural Studies was reported in 35 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 181 faculty was \$51,339. This average salary was approximately 7.2 percent higher than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of Area, Ethnic and Cultural Studies in the public institutions studied was 12.8 percent (\$51,339 minus \$45,531 equals \$5,808). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in Area, Ethnic and Cultural Studies average faculty salaries over the three-year period by 4.4 percent or an average of 1.4 percent each year above the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of Area, Ethnic and Cultural Studies (12.8%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of 3.7 percent less than the faculty in the discipline/major field of Area, Ethnic and Cultural Studies.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in Area, Ethnic and Cultural Studies is lower at the professor rank than at the assistant professor



rank: 27.3 percent vs. 29.1 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 28.7 percent vs. 27.6 percent. The differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in Area, Ethnic and Cultural Studies in the public studies was higher than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 6.7 percent (11/165) vs. 4.2 percent (2,434/58,568) and lower in 1995-96, 3.9 percent (7/181) vs. 4.7 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of Area, Ethnic and Cultural Studies was reported in 19 of the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 68 faculty was \$45,843, an average salary 6.3 percent higher than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 26 of the same 337 private institutions reported Area, Ethnic and Cultural Studies. The average salary of the 97 faculty was \$48,209, an average salary 1.6 percent higher than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in Area, Ethnic and Cultural Studies in the private institutions studies was 5.2 percent (\$48,209 minus \$45,843 equals \$2,366). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of Area, Ethnic and Cultural Studies



over the three-year time period, is 3.3 percent or 1.1 percent each year below the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus \$43,137 equals \$4,326). In comparison to Area, Ethnic and Cultural Studies (5.1%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries 4.9 percent (10.0% minus 5.1% equals 4.9%) more than faculty in Area, Ethnic and Cultural Studies.

For both studies in the discipline/major field of Area, Ethnic and Cultural Studies, the faculty mix percentage is higher at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 32.4 percent vs. 22.1 percent in 1992-93; and lower, 24.7 percent vs. 29.9 percent, in 1995-96. The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1991-92) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Area, Ethnic and Cultural Studies was lower than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 0 percent (0/68) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and higher in the 1995-96 private study: 9.2 percent (9/97) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/major field of Area, Ethnic and Cultural Studies and compares that information
with both ALL MAJOR FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the
"baseline year" of 1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies
--one for public institutions, and the other for private institutions--were



conducted for the baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of 511 (.3%) faculty in the discipline/major field of Area, Ethnic and Cultural Studies participated and were included in the 51 disciplines/major fields in each of the four studies and in the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of Area, Ethnic and Cultural Studies in 1992-93 were 4 percent and 6 percent above the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in Area, Ethnic and Cultural Studies in 1995-96 were 7 percent and 2 percent above the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in Area, Ethnic and Cultural Studies in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of 1.4 percent above the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was 3.7 percent below the cost-of-living.

Third, in the 1992-93 public study in Area, Ethnic and Cultural Studies, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs was lower than that of ALL MAJOR FIELDS, and higher in 1995-96. This major field is still too disparate to offer any indication of a trend.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Area, Ethnic and Cultural Studies in the 1992-93 public study was higher than the hiring rate of



R

trend.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Area, Ethnic and Cultural Studies in the 1992-93 public study was higher than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS and the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1995-96 private study was also higher than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of Area, Ethnic and Cultural Studies has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.

Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

A - OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10

B - LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11

C - LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES/LIFE SCIENCES FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs (CIP)</u>, 1990, including Biological Sciences/Life Sciences. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of Biological Sciences/Life Sciences as,

A summary of groups of instructional programs that describe the scientific study of living organisms and their systems.*

[*A Classification of Instructional Programs (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, [1990]. p. 114--26).]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of Biological Sciences/Life Sciences for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.



This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of Biological Sciences/Life Sciences for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.

The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 27.1 for associate professors of Biological Sciences/Life Sciences in



the 1992-93 public study means that 27.1 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the total average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 1.00 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of Biological Sciences/Life Sciences in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is the same as the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of Biological Sciences/Life Sciences with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



NEW ASS₀ ASST ASST INSTRUCTOR PROF PROF PROF PROF ALL RANKS SALARY NUM N/IN DISCIPLINE: BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES/LIFE SCIENCES PUBLIC 1992-93: MAJOR FIELD: Biological Sciences/Life Sciences AVERAGE 25528 100 49 45432 2916 198 SALARY: 53623 1315 193 43800 789 179 34907 712 166 32433 125 84 FAC MIX 4.3% 100.0% PCT: 45.1% 27.1% 24.4% 3.4% SALARY 0.94 0.95 1.04 FACTOR: 0.98 1.00 0.97 ALL MAJOR FIELDS **AVERAGE** 26818 3879 43874 58568 212 SALARY: 54518 19682 43644 17249 36026 17758 34654 2434 FAC MIX PCT: 33.6% 29.5% 30.3% 4.2% 6.6% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES/LIFE SCIENCES MAJOR FIELD: Biological Sciences/Life Sciences PUBLIC, 1995-96: AVERAGE 46421 804 178 37983 802 181 35112 124 80 48580 3051 202 SALARY: 58638 1308 194 27261 137 57 FAC MIX 42.9% 26.4% 26.3% 4.1% 4.5% 100.0% PCT: SALARY FACTOR: 0.98 0.98 0.98 0.97 0.94 1.02 ALL MAJOR FIELDS **AVERAGE** 47366 18254 29106 3838 47858 60340 212 SALARY: 59610 20428 38928 17820 36373 2811 FAC MIX 33.9% 30.3% 29.5% 4.7% 6.4% 100.0% PCT: DISCIPLINE: BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES/LIFE SCIENCES PRIVATE, 92-93: MAJOR FIELD: Biological Sciences/Life Sciences AVERAGE 27833 43228 1706 307 33083 418 216 31003 73 61 46 34 SALARY: 51905 731 247 40500 511 235 FAC MIX 4.3% 2.7% 100.0% 42.8% 30.0% 24.5% PCT: SALARY 0.95 0.95 0.96 1.00 FACTOR: 0.95 0.96 ALL MAJOR FIELDS **AVERAGE** SALARY: 54539 11253 42331 10862 34956 11225 32785 1415 28932 1951 43137 35291 337 FAC MIX 100.0% PCT: 31.9% 30.8% 31.8% 4.0% 5.5% DISCIPLINE: BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES/LIFE SCIENCES MAJOR FIELD: Biological Sciences/Life Sciences PRIVATE, 1995-96: AVERAGE 46909 1882 310 SALARY: 57645 769 252 43689 540 239 36193 528 243 33596 101 90 27823 45 38 FAC MIX 40.9% 28.7% 28.1% 5.4% 2.4% 100.0% PCT: SALARY 0.95 0.93 0.91 0,99 0.95 FACTOR: 0.96 ALL MAJOR FIELDS **AVERAGE** 36092 1807 47463 36513 337 SALARY: 60032 11948 46167 11659 37984 11222 30425 1684 FAC MIX 32.7% 31.9% 30.7% 4.9% 4.6% 100.0% PCT:

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of Biological Sciences/Life Sciences was reported in 198 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 2,916 faculty was \$45,432. This average salary was approximately 3.5 percent higher than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, Biological Sciences/Life Sciences was reported in 202 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 3,051 faculty was \$48,580. This average salary was approximately 1.5 percent higher than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of Biological Sciences/Life Sciences in the public institutions studied was 6.9 percent (\$48,580 minus \$45,432 equals \$3,148). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in Biological Sciences/Life Sciences average faculty salaries over the three-year period by .6 percent or an average of 1.5 percent each year below the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of Biological Sciences/Life Sciences (5.9%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of 2.2 percent more than the faculty in the discipline/major field of Biological Sciences/Life Sciences.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in Biological Sciences/-Life Sciences is higher at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 45.1 percent vs. 24.4 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 42.9 percent



vs. 26.3 percent. The differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in Biological Sciences/Life Sciences in the public studies was higher than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 4.3 percent (125/2,916) vs. 4.2 percent (2,434/58,568) and lower in 1995-96, 4.1 percent (124/3,051) vs. 4.7 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of Biological Sciences/Life Sciences was reported in 307 the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 1,706 faculty was \$43,228, which was .2 percent higher than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 310 of the same 337 private institutions reported Biological Sciences/Life Sciences. The average salary of the 1,935 faculty was \$46,909, an average salary 1.2 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in Biological Sciences/Life Sciences in the private institutions studies was 8.5 percent (\$46,909 minus \$43,228 equals \$3,681). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of Biological Sciences/Life Sciences over the three-year time period, is .1 percent or .03 percent each year above



the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus \$43,137 equals \$4,326). In comparison to Biological Sciences/Life Sciences (8.5%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries 1.5 percent (10.0% minus 8.5 equals 1.5%) more than faculty in Biological Sciences/Life Sciences.

For both studies in the discipline/major field of Biological Sciences/Life Sciences, the faculty mix percentage is higher at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 42.8 percent vs. 24.5 percent (1992-93); and 40.9 percent vs. 28.1 percent, (1995-96). The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Biological Sciences/Life Sciences was higher than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 4.3 percent (73/1,706) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and higher in the 1995-96 private study: 5.4 percent (101/1,882) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/-major field of Biological Sciences/Life Sciences and compares that information with both ALL MAJOR FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies--one for public institutions, and the other for private institutions--were conducted for the baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A



7.

total of 9,555 (5%) faculty in the discipline/major field of Biological Sciences/Life Sciences participated and were included in the 51 disciplines/major fields in each of the four studies and in the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/-major field of Biological Sciences/Life Sciences in 1992-93 were four percent and and exactly the same respectfully, as the average salary faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in Biological Sciences/-Life Sciences in 1995-96 were two percent above and one percent below the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in Biological Sciences/Life Sciences in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of 1.5 percent below the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was .03 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies in Biological Sciences/Life Sciences, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are higher than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of Biological Sciences/Life Sciences is well established in the academy.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Biological Sciences/Life Sciences in the 1992-93 public study and in the 1992-93 and 1995-96



private studies was higher than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS. However, in the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1995-96 public study was lower than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of Biological Sciences/Life Sciences has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.

Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION & MANAGEMENT FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (<u>CIP</u>), 1990, including business administration & management. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of Business Administration & Management as,

An instructional program that generally prepares individuals to plan, organize, direct, and control the functions and processes of a firm or organization. Includes instruction in management theory, human resources management and behavior, accounting and other quantitative methods, purchasing and logistics, organization and production, marketing, and business decision making.*

[*A <u>Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, [1990]. p. 188--52.0201).]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of Business Administration & Management for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of



1992-93, 337 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of Business Administration & Management for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.



The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 31.7 for associate professors of Business Administration & Management in the 1992-93 public study means that 31.7 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the total average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 1.18 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of Business Administration & Management in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is 18 percent higher than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of Business Administration & Management with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



NEW ASS0 ASST ASST PROF PROF **PROF PROF** INSTRUCTOR ALL RANKS SALARY NUM N/IN DISCIPLINE: BUSINESS MANAGEMENT & ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES PUBLIC 1992-93: MAJOR FIELD: Business Administration and Management, General AVERAGE SALARY: 61950 629 143 51.630 708 147 47782 759 148 47936 122 68 31404 140 67 51960 2236 160 FAC MIX PCT: 28.1% 31.7% 33.9% 5.5% 6.3% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 1.14 1.18 1.33 1.38 1.17 1.18 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE 36026 17758 SALARY: 54518 19682 43644 17249 34654 2434 26818 3879 43874 58568 212 FAC MIX 33.6% 29.5% PCT: 30.3% 4.2% 100.0% 6.6% DISCIPLINE: BUSINESS MANAGEMENT & ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES PUBLIC, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Business Administration and Management, General AVERAGE SALARY: 65647 645 139 33973 133 56303 741 142 50592 639 143 48366 នន 59 60 56028 2158 157 FAC MIX PCT: 29.9% 34.3% 29.6% 4.1% 6.2% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 1.10 1.19 1.30 1.33 1.17 1.17 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 59610 20428 47366 18254 38928 17820 36373 2811 29106 3838 47858 60340 212 FAC MIX 100.0% 33.9% 4.7% 30.3% 29.5% 6.4% PCT: DISCIPLINE: BUSINESS MANAGEMENT & ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES MAJOR FIELD: Business Administration and Management, General PRIVATE, 92-93: AVERAGE SALARY: 63685 482 139 48467 676 198 41756 655 191 42667 56 30463 98 61 49082 1911 245 FAC MIX PCT: 25.2% 35.4% 34.3% 2.9% 5.1% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 1.17 1.30 1.14 1.19 1.05 1.14 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 54539 11253 42331 10862 34956 11225 32785 1415 28932 1951 43137 35291 337 FAC MIX PCT: 31.9% 30.8% 31.8% 4.0% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: BUSINESS MANAGEMENT & ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES PRIVATE, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Business Administration and Management, General **AVERAGE** SALARY: 70609 53196 677 193 34352 55513 1868 551 161 45907 588 192 50718 51 52 40 240 FAC MIX PCT: 29.5% 36.2% 31.5% 4.8% 2.8% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 1.18 1.15 1.21 1.41 1.13 1.17 ALL MAJOR FIELDS **AVERAGE** SALARY: 60032 11948 47463 36513 337 46167 11659 37984 11222 36092 1807 30425 1684 FAC MIX PCT: 32.7% 31.9% 30.7% 4.9% 100.0% 4.6%

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of Business Administration & Management was reported in 160 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 2,236 faculty was \$51,960. This average salary was approximately 18.4 percent higher than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, Business Administration & Management was reported in 157 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 2,158 faculty was \$56,028. This average salary was approximately 17.1 percent higher than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of Business Administration & Management in the public institutions studied was 7.8 percent (\$56,028 minus \$51,960 equals \$4,068). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in Business Administration & Management average faculty salaries over the three-year period by .6 percent or an average of .2 percent each year below the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of Business Administration & Management (7.8%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of 1.3 percent less than the faculty in the discipline/major field of business administration and management.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in Business Administration & Management is lower at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 28.1 percent vs. 33.9 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 29.9 percent



vs. 29.6 percent. The differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in Business Administration & Management in the public studies was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 3.0 percent (68/2,236) vs. 4.2 percent (2,434/58,568) and lower in 1995-96, 2.7 percent (59/2,158) vs. 4.7 percent (2,811/60.340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of Business Administration & Management was reported in 245 of the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 1,911 faculty was \$49,082, an average salary 13.8 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 240 of the same 337 private institutions reported business administration and management. The average salary of the 1,868 faculty was \$55,513, an average salary 17.0 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in Business Administration & Management in the private institutions studies was 13.1 percent (\$55,513 minus \$49,082 equals \$6,431). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of Business Administration



& Management over the three-year time period, is 4.7 percent or 1.6 percent each year above the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus \$43,137 equals \$4,326). In comparison to Business Administration & Management (13.1%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries 3.1 percent (13.1% minus 10.0% equals 3.1%) less than faculty in business administration and management.

For both studies in the discipline/major field of business administration and management, the faculty mix percentage is lower at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 25.2 percent vs. 34.3 percent (1992-93); and 29.5 percent vs. 31.5 percent, (1995-96). The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Business Administration & Management was higher than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 2.9 percent (56/1,911) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and higher in the 1995-96 private study: 4.8 percent (89/1,868) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/-major field of Business Administration & Management and compares that information with both ALL MAJOR FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96.

Two studies--one for public institutions, and the other for private



institutions—were conducted for the baseline year and for the trend year—a total of four studies. A total of 8,162 (4.3%) faculty in the discipline/major field of Business Administration & Management participated and were included in the 51 disciplines/major fields in each of the four studies and in the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of Business Administration & Management in 1992-93 were 18 percent and 14 percent above the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in Business Administration & Management in 1995-96 both were 17 percent above the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in Business Administration & Management in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of .2 percent below the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was 1.6 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in the 1992-93 public study and the 1992-93 and 1995-96 private studies in business administration and management, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are lower than those for the assistant professor rank; however, in the 1995-96 public study it was higher, indicating that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of Business Administration & Management is still emerging in the academy.



Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Business Administration & Management in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public studies was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS. The hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 private studies was also lower than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of Business Administration & Management has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.

Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

A - OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10

B - LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11

C - LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN BUSINESS MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (<u>CIP</u>), 1990, including business administration & management. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of Business Management and Administrative Services as,

A summary of groups of instructional programs that prepare individuals to perform managerial, research, and technical support functions related to the commercial and/or non-profit production, buying, and selling of goods and services.*

*A Classification of Instructional Programs (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, [1990]. p. 187--52).]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of Business Management and Administrative Services for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of



1992-93, 337 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of Business Management and Administrative Services for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.



The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 32.6 for associate professors of Business Management and Administrative Services in the 1992-93 public study means that 32.6 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the <u>total</u> average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 1.11 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of Business Management and Administrative Services in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is 11 percent higher than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of Business Management and Administrative Services with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



NEW ASS0 ASST ASST PROF PROF **PROF** PROF INSTRUCTOR ALL RANKS N/IN SALARY NUM N/IN SALARY NUM N/IN SALARY NUM N/IN SALARY NUM SALARY NUM N/IN SALARY NUM N/IN DISCIPLINE: BUSINESS MANAGEMENT & ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES PUBLIC 1992-93: MAJOR FIELD: Business Management & Administrative Services AVERAGE SALARY: 60194 304 45875 333 49 28701 103 28 49068 1098 57 48 48451 358 53 45112 31 16 FAC MIX 30.3% 2.8% 9.4% 100.0% 27.7% 32.6% PCT: SALARY FACTOR: 1.10 1.11 1.27 1.30 1.07 1.12 ALL MAJOR FIELDS **AVERAGE** SALARY: 54518 19682 43644 17249 36026 17758 34654 2434 26818 3879 43874 58568 212 FAC MIX 100.0% 33.6% 29.5% 30.3% 4.2% 6.6% PCT: DISCIPLINE: BUSINESS MANAGEMENT & ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES MAJOR FIELD: Business Management & Administrative Services PUBLIC, 1995-96: AVERAGE 49576 31409 107 25 57440 1479 66 SALARY: 69852 61 56874 510 63 51070 406 57 43 26 456 FAC MIX PCT: 30.8% 34.5% 27.5% 2.9% 7.2% 100.0% SALARY 1.36 1.08 1.20 FACTOR: 1.17 1.20 1.31 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE 47858 60340 212 SALARY: 59610 20428 47366 18254 38928 17820 36373 2811 29106 3838 FAC MIX 4.7% 100.0% 6.4% 29.5% PCT: 33.9% 30.3% DISCIPLINE: BUSINESS MANAGEMENT & ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES PRIVATE, 92-93: MAJOR FIELD: Business Management & Administrative Services AVERAGE 43 52788 403 SALARY: 66962 97 24 52027 132 31 46498 151 34 47286 11 7 38681 23 11 FAC MIX 2.7% 5.7% 100.0% 32.8% 37.5% PCT: 24.1% SALARY 1.22 1.44 1.34 FACTOR: 1.23 1.23 1.33 ALL MAJOR FIELDS **AVERAGE** 42331 10862 34956 11225 32785 1415 28932 1951 43137 35291 337 SALARY: 54539 11253 FAC MIX PCT: 31.9% 30.8% 31.8% 4.0% 5.5% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: BUSINESS MANAGEMENT & ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES PRIVATE, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Business Management & Administrative Services AVERAGE SALARY: 70058 135 34 57268 176 42 49380 133 38 42564 15 13 33655 24 13 57504 468 53 **FAC MTX** PCT: 28.8% 37.6% 28.4% 3.2% 5.1% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 1.30 1.21 1.17 1.24 1.18 1.11 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 60032 11948 46167 11659 37984 11222 36092 1807 30425 1684 47463 36513 337 FAC MIX PCT: 32.7% 31.9% 30.7% 4.9% 4.6% 100.0%

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of Business Management and Administrative Services was reported in 57 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 1,098 faculty was \$49,068. This average salary was approximately 11.8 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, Business Management and Administrative Services was reported in 66 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 1,479 faculty was \$57,440. This average salary was approximately 20 percent higher than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of Business Management and Administrative Services in the public institutions studied was 17.1 percent (\$57.440 minus \$49,068 equals \$8,372). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in Business Management and Administrative Services average faculty salaries over the three-year period by 8.7 percent or an average of 2.9 percent each year above the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of Business Management and Administrative Services (17.1%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of 8.0 percent less than the faculty in the discipline/major field of business management and administrative services.



In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in Business Management and Administrative Services is lower at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 27.7 percent vs. 30.3 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 30.8 percent vs. 27.5 percent. The differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in Business Management and Administrative Services in the public studies was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 2.8 percent (31/1,098) vs. 4.2 percent (2,434/58,568) and higher in 1995-96, 2.9 percent (43/1,479) vs. 4.7 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of Business Management and Administrative Services was reported in 43 of the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 403 faculty was \$52.788, an average salary 22.4 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 53 of the same 337 private institutions reported business administration & management. The average salary of the 468 faculty was \$57,504 an average salary 21.2 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in Business

Management and Administrative Services in the private institutions studies was



8.9 percent (\$57,504 minus \$52,788 equals \$4,716). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of Business Management and Administrative Services over the three-year time period, is .5 percent or .17 percent each year above the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus \$43,137 equals \$4,326). In comparison to Business Management and Administrative Services (8.9%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries 1.1 percent (10.0% minus 8.9% equals 1.1%) less than faculty in business administration & management.

The faculty mix percentage for the 1992-93 private study is lower at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 24.1 percent vs. 37.5 percent; and 28.8 percent vs. 28.4 percent, (1995-96). The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Business Management and Administrative Services was lower than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 2.7 percent (11/403) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35.291) and lower in the 1995-96 private study: 3.2 percent (15/468) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/-major field of Business Management and Administrative Services and compares that information with both ALL MAJOR FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96.



Two studies--one for public institutions, and the other for private institutions--were conducted for the baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of 3,448 (1.8%) faculty in the discipline/major field of Business Management and Administrative Services participated and were included in the 51 disciplines/major fields in each of the four studies and in the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of Business Management and Administrative Services in 1992-93 were 12 percent and 22 percent above the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in Business Management and Administrative Services in 1995-96 were 20 percent and 21 percent above the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in Business Management and Administrative Services in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of 2.9 percent above the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was .17 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 public and private studies in Business Administration & Management, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are lower than those for the assistant professor rank. However, in both the 1995-96 public and private studies the FAC MIX PCTS are higher.



Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Business Management and Administrative Services in the 1992-93 public study was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS. However, in the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1995-96 public study and in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 private studies was higher than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of Business Management and Administrative Services has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.

Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

A - OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10

B - LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11

C - LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



q

SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN BUSINESS/MANAGERIAL ECONOMICS FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (<u>CIP</u>), 1990, including business/managerial economics. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of business/managerial economics as,

An instructional program that describes the application of economics principles to the analysis of the organization and operation of business enterprises. Includes instruction in monetary theory, banking and financial systems, theory of competition, pricing theory, wage and salary/9incentive theory, analysis of markets and applications of econometrics and quantitative methods to the study of particular business and business problems.*

[*A <u>Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, [1990]. p. 192--52.0601).]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of business/managerial economics for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the



487

institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also participated in1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of business/managerial economics for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.



The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 35.7 for associate professors of business/managerial economics in the 1992-93 public study means that 35.7 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the total average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 1.09 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of business/managerial economics in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is nine percent lower than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of business/managerial economics with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



NEW **ASSO** ASST ASST INSTRUCTOR ALL RANKS PROF PROF **PROF** PROF SALARY NUM N/IN SALARY NUM N/IN SALARY NUM N/IN SALARY NUM_N/IN SALARY NUM N/IN SALARY NUM N/IN DISCIPLINE: BUSINESS MANAGEMENT & ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES PUBLIC 1992-93: MAJOR FIELD: Business/Managerial Economics AVERAGE 49027 67 627 40882 32448 13 47409 224 62 43060 181 59 17 16. 24 SALARY: 58321 198 FAC MIX 2.7% 3.8% 100.0% 31.6% 35.7% 28.9% PCT: SALARY 1.12 FACTOR: 1.07 1.09 1.20 1.18 1.21 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE 26818 3879 34654 2434 43874 58568 212 43644 17249 36026 17758 SALARY: 54518 19682 FAC MIX 100.0% 4.2% 6.6% 33.6% 29.5% 30.3% PCT: DISCIPLINE: BUSINESS MANAGEMENT & ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES PUBLIC, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Business/Managerial Economics AVERAGE 70 619 SALARY: 65855 49030 147 55 49284 25 33308 15 11 56398 228 60 53225 229 64 FAC MIX 100.0% 4.0% 2.4% 36.8% 37.0% 23.7% PCT: SALARY 1.14 1.35 FACTOR: 1.10 1.12 1.26 ALL MAJOR FIELDS **AVERAGE** 29106 3838 47858 60340 212 SALARY: 59610 20428 47366 18254 38928 17820 36373 2811 FAC MIX 29.5% 4.7% 6.4% 100.0% 33.9% 30.3% PCT: DISCIPLINE: BUSINESS MANAGEMENT & ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES MAJOR FIELD: Business/Managerial Economics PRIVATE, 92-93: AVERAGE 89 38592 34340 20 14 46706 431 45717 1.70 68 40670 125 55 50 SALARY: 56792 116 FAC MIX 4.6% 100.0% 29.0% 2.1% 39.4% PCT: 26.9% SALARY 1.08 1.16 1.18 1.19 1.08 FACTOR: 1.04 ALL MAJOR FIELDS **AVERAGE** 43137 35291 337 28932 1951 42331 10862 34956 11225 32785 1415 SALARY: 54539 11253 FAC MIX 4.0% 100.0% 5.5% 30.8% 31.8% PCT: 31.9% DISCIPLINE: BUSINESS MANAGEMENT & ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Business/Managerial Economics PRIVATE. AVERAGE 449 98 SALARY: 60737 40801 19 17 34671 11. 9 51361 50610 171 68 44007 131 65 66 136 FAC MIX 29.2% 4.2% 2.4% 100.0% PCT: 30.3% 38.1% SALARY FACTOR: 1.01 1.10 1.16 1.13 1.14 1.08 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE 30425 1684 47463 36513 337 37984 11222 36092 1807 SALARY: 60032 11948 46167 11659 FAC MIX 30.7% 4.9% 4.6% 100.0% 32.7% PCT: 31.9%

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of business/managerial economics was reported in 67 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 627 faculty was \$49,027. This average salary was approximately 11.7 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, business/managerial economics was reported in 70 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 619 faculty was \$56,398. This average salary was approximately 17.8 percent higher than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of business/managerial economics in the public institutions studied was 15.0 percent (\$56,398 minus \$49,027 equals \$7,371). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in business/managerial economics average faculty salaries over the three-year period by 6.6 percent or an average of 2.2 percent each year above the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of business/managerial economics (15.0%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of 5.9 percent less than the faculty in the discipline/major field of business/managerial economics.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in business/managerial economics is higher at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank:



31.6 percent vs. 28.9 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 36.8 percent vs. 23.7 percent. The differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in business/managerial economics in the public studies was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 2.7 percent (17/627) vs. 4.2 percent (2,434/58,568) and higher in 1995-96, 4.0 percent (25/619) vs. 4.7 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of business/managerial economics was reported in 89 of the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 431 faculty was \$46,706, an average salary 8.3 percent higher than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 98 of the same 337 private institutions reported business/managerial economics. The average salary of the 449 faculty was \$51,361, an average salary 8.2 percent higher than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in business/managerial economics in the private institutions studies was 10.0 percent
(\$51,361 minus \$46,706 equals \$4,655). The CPI increased cost-of-living between
October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase,
therefore, in the average faculty salaries of business/managerial economics



over the three-year time period, is 1.6 percent or .5 percent each year above the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus \$41,137 equals \$4,326). In comparison to business/managerial economics (10.0%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries 0 percent (10.0% minus 10.0% equals 0%) more or less than faculty in business/managerial economics.

In the discipline/major field of business/managerial economics, the faculty mix percentage is lower at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank for the 1992-93 study: 26.9 percent vs. 29.0 percent. In the 1995-96 study, the faculty mix percentage is higher at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 30.3 percent vs. 29.2 percent. The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in business/-managerial economics was lower than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 2.1 percent (9/431) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and lower in the 1995-96 private study: 4.2 percent (19/449 vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/major field of business/managerial economics and compares that information with
both ALL MAJOR FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies--one for
public institutions, and the other for private institutions--were conducted for



the baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of 7.326 (3.7%) faculty in the discipline/major field of business/managerial economics participated and were included in the 51 disciplines/major fields in each of the four studies and in the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of business/managerial economics in 1992-93 were 15 percent and 20 /percent below the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in business/managerial economics in 1995-96 were 14 percent and 19 percent below the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in business/managerial economics in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of percent above the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies in business/managerial economics, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are lower than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of business/managerial economics is four still emerging in the academy. Summerful extablished in the academy.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in business/managerial economics in the 1992-93 public study was lower than the hiring rate



o

and

of ALL MAJOR FIELDS, However, in the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1995-96 public study and in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 private studies was higher than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS. AS WWW.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of business/managerial economics has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.

Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



the baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of 2,126 (1.1%) faculty in the discipline/major field of business/managerial economics participated and were included in the 51 disciplines/major fields in each of the four studies and in the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of business/managerial economics in 1992-93 were 12 percent and eight percent above the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in business/managerial economics in 1995-96 were 18 percent and eight percent above the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in business/managerial economics in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of 2.2 percent above the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was .5 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and in the 1995-965 private studies in business/managerial economics, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are higher than those for the assistant professor rank, while in the 1992-93 private study the FACMIX OCTS was lower, indicating that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of business/managerial economics is somewhat established in the academy.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in business/managerial economics in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public studies were lower than the 8



hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS; and, in the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 private studies it was lower than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of business/managerial economics has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.

Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN BUSINESS MARKETING AND MARKETING MANAGEMENT FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (<u>CIP</u>), 1990, including Business Marketing and Marketing Management. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of Business Marketing and Marketing Management as,

An instructional program that prepares individuals to undertake and manage the process of developing consumer audiences and moving products from producers to consumers. Includes instruction in buyer behavior and dynamics, principles of marketing research, demand analysis, cost-volume and profit relationships, pricing theory, marketing campaign and stratigic planning, market segments, advertising methods, sales operations and management, consumer relations, retailing, and applications to specific products and markets.

[*A <u>Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, [1990]. p. 198--52.1401).]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of Business Marketing and Marketing Management for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline



year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of business marketing and marketing management for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for





a given academic rank and discipline/major field.

The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 29.5 for associate professors of business marketing and marketing management in the 1992-93 public study means that 29.5 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the total average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 1.19 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of business marketing and marketing management in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is 19 percent lower than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to
compare the discipline/major field of business marketing and marketing management with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



3

01:13

<u>s</u>	PR ALARY		/tn	PR	SO OF NUM N	<u>/IN</u>	ASS PRO SALARY	F	<u>/IN</u>	NET AS PR SALARY	ST OF	<u>/IN</u>	INSTR SALARY		/ <u>IN</u>		L RANKS	5 <u>N/IN</u>
PUBLIC 1	.992 - 93	:											E SERVIC Manageme					
AVERAGE SALARY:	60079	193	65	51826	193	67	47799	221	73	50701	29	25	3 0143	48	22	51310	655	89
	29.5%			29.5%			33.7%			4.4%			7.3%			100.0%		
SALARY FACTOR:	1.10	•		1.19			1.33			1.46			1.12			1.17		
								ALL M	AJOR	FIELDS								
AVERAGE SALARY: FAC MIX	54518	19682		43644	17249		36026	17758		34654	2434		26818	3879		43874	58568	212
	33.6%			29.5%			30.3%			4.2%			6.6%			100.0%		
	DISCIPLINE: BUSINESS MANAGEMENT & ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES PUBLIC, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Business Marketing and Marketing Management																	
AVERAGE SALARY:	67698	252	70	57604	206	71	52049	186	73	48537	25	19	29616	31	21	58556	675	95
FAC MIX PCT:	37.3%			30.5%			27.6%			3.7%			4.6%			100.0%		
SALARY FACTOR:	1.14			1.22			1.34			1.33			1.02			1.22		
ALL MAJOR FIELDS																		
AVERAGE SALARY:	59610	20428		47366	18254		38928	17820)	36373	2811		29106	3838		47858	60340	212
FAC MIX PCT:	33.9%			30.3%			29.5%			4.7%			6.4%			100.0%		
				[.]							. 					. 		
	DISCIPLINE: BUSINESS MANAGEMENT & ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES PRIVATE, 92-93: MAJOR FIELD: Business Marketing and Marketing Management																	
PRIVATE AVERAGE		_													10	E2016	222	72
SALARY: FAC MIX			31		119	52	49350	120	51	48706	13	11	36140		19	53016	322	73
PCT: SALARY	17.7%			37.0%			37.3%			4.0%			8.1%			100.0%		
FACTOR:	1.29			1.23			1.41			1.49			1.25			1.23		
AVERAGE								ALL M	IAJOR	FIELDS								
SALARY: FAC MIX	54539	11253	3	42331	10862	:	34956	11225	5	32785	1415		28932	1951		43137	35291	337
PCT:	31.9%			30.8%			31.8%			4.0%			5.5%			100.0%		
DISCIPLINE: BUSINESS MANAGEMENT & ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES PRIVATE, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Business Marketing and Marketing Management																		
AVERAGE										_					10	50.00	255	0.2
SALARY: FAC MIX	_		38		145	60	53672		52	54682		11			12	59430		83
PCT: SALARY	22.3%			40.8%	i		31.5%			3.1%	•		5.4%			100.0%		
FACTOR:	1.21			1.28			1.41			1.52			1.32			1.25		
AVERAGE								ALL N	AJOF	FIELDS							•	
SALARY: FAC MIX	60032	11948	3	46167	11659	•	37984	1122	2	36092	1.807		30425	1684		47463	36513	337
PCT:	32.7%			31.9%	•		30.7%			4.9%			4.6%			100.0%		





RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of business marketing and marketing management was reported in 89 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 655 faculty was \$51,310. This average salary was approximately 16.9 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, business marketing and marketing management was reported in 95 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 675 faculty was \$58,556. This average salary was approximately 22.4 percent higher than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of business marketing and marketing management in the public institutions studied was 14.1 percent (\$58,556 minus \$51,310 equals \$7,246). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in business marketing and marketing management average faculty salaries over the three-year period by 5.7 percent or an average of 1.9 percent each year above the cost-of-living.

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of business marketing and marketing management (14.1%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of 5.0 percent less than the faculty in the discipline/major field of business marketing and marketing management.



In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in business marketing and marketing management is lower at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 29.5 percent vs. 33.7 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 37.3 percent vs. 27.6 percent. The differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in business marketing and marketing management in the public studies was higher than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 4.4 percent (29/655) vs. 4.2 percent (2,434/58,568) and lower in 1995-96, 3.7 percent (25/675) vs. 4.7 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of business marketing and marketing management was reported in 73 of the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 322 faculty was \$53,016, an average salary 22.9 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35.291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 83 of the same 337 private institutions reported business marketing and marketing management. The average salary of the 355 faculty was \$59,430, an average salary 25.2 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in business marketing and marketing management in the private institutions studies was 12.1



information with both ALL MAJOR FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies--one for public institutions, and the other for private institutions--were conducted for the baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of 2,007 (1.0%) faculty in the discipline/major field of business marketing and marketing management participated and were included in the 51 disciplines/major fields in each of the four studies and in the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of business marketing and marketing management in 1992-93 were 17 percent and 23 percent higher the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in business marketing and marketing management in 1995-96 were 22 percent and 25 percent above the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in business marketing and marketing management in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of 1.9 percent above the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was 1.2 percent above the cost-of-living.



Third, in both the 1992-93 public and the 1992-93 and 1995-96 private studies in business marketing and marketing management, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are lower than those for the assistant professor rank, and in the 1995-95 public study it was higher, indicating that in private studies the discipline/-major field of business marketing and marketing management is still emerging in the academy.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in business marketing and marketing management in the 1992-93 public study was higher than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS. However, in the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1995-96 public study and in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 private studies was lower than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of business marketing and marketing management has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.

Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN CHEMISTRY FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96; one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (<u>CIP</u>), 1990, including chemistry. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of chemistry as,

A group of instructional programs that generally describes the scientific study of the composition and behavior of matter, including its micro- and macro-structure, the processes of chemical change, and the theoretical description and laboratory simulation of these phenomena.*

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of chemistry for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also



participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of chemistry for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.



The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 23.1 for associate professors of chemistry in the 1992-93 public study means that 23.1 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the <u>total</u> average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 0.97 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of chemistry in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is three percent lower than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of chemistry with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



	<u>s</u>	PR ALARY	OF NUM N	<u>/ IN</u>	. PR	SSO ROF NUM N/IN	ASS PRO SALARY	F	<u>/IN</u>	NE AS PR SALARY	ST OF	<u>/IN</u>		UCTOR NUM N		AL SALARY	L RANK N <u>U</u> M	S <u>n/In</u>
-	PUBLIC 1	992-93	<u>:</u> :				DISCIPL MAJOR FI			ICAL SCI istry, G		1				•		
9	AVERAGE SALARY: FAC MIX	55103	883	163	42278	388 143	34591	425	145	32469	61	49	27626	32	19	46418	1678	177
1		49.6%			23.1%		25.3%			3.6%			1.9%			100.0%		
	FACTOR:	1.01			0.97		0.96			0.94			1.03			1.06		
								ALL M	AJOR	FIELDS								
;	AVERAGE SALARY: FAC MIX	54518	19682	2	43644	17249	36026	17758	3	34654	2434		26818	3879		43874	58568	212
	PCT:	33.6%			29.5%		30.3%			4.2%			6.6%			100.0%		
	PUBLIC,	1995-9	96:							ICAL SCI						•		
;	AVERAGE SALARY: FAC MIX	60835	770	162	45847	453 151	37202	418	148	35585	84	61	27912	50	32	50004	1691	180
1		45.5%			26.8%		24.7%			5.0%			3.0%			100.0%		
	FACTOR:	1.02			0.97		0.96			0.98			0.96			1.04		
ALL MAJOR FIELDS																		
:	AVERAGE SALARY:		20428	3	47366	18254	38928	17820)	36373	2811		29106	3838		47858	60340	212
	FAC MIX PCT:	33.9%			30.3%		29.5%			4.7%			6.4%			100.0%		
				. 												. -		· - -
							DISCIP	TNE:	PHYS	ICAL SCI	ENCES							
	PRIVATE AVERAGE	92-9;	3:							istry, G								
	SALARY: FAC MIX	53735	573	225	40457	308 170	33562	308	186	31824	60	55	30988	24	19	44791	1213	283
	PCT: SALARY	47.2%			25.4%		25.4%			4.9%			2.0%			100.0%		
	FACTOR:	0.99			0.96		0.96			0.97			1.07			1.04		
			•					ALL N	IAJOR	FIELDS								
	AVERAGE SALARY: FAC MIX	54539	1125	3	42331	10862	34956	1122	5	32785	1415		28932	1951		43137	35291	337
	PCT:	31.9%			30.8%		31.8%			4.0%			5.5%			100.0%		
	<u>PRIVATE</u>	<u>. 1995</u>	<u>-96</u> :							SICAL SCI								
	AVERAGE SALARY:	59513	566	230	43884	339 184	36543	377	193	34934	74	64	26924	15	15	48375	1297	293
	FAC MIX PCT:				?6.1%	i	29.1%			5.7%			1.2%			100.0%		
	SALARY FACTOR:	0.99			0.95		0.96			0.97			0.88			1.02		
								ALL 1	1AJOF	FIELDS								
	AVERAGE SALARY:	60032	1194	8	46167	11659	37984				1807		30425	1684		47463	36513	337
	FAC MIX PCT:	32.7%	i		31.9%	3	30.7%			4.9%			4.6%			100.0%		





RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of chemistry was reported in 177 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 1,678 faculty was \$46,418. This average salary was approximately 5.8 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, chemistry was reported in 180 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 1,619 faculty was \$50,004. This average salary was approximately 4.5 percent higher than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of chemistry in the public institutions studied was 7.7 percent (\$50,004 minus \$46,418 equals \$3,586). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in chemistry average faculty salaries over the three-year period by .7.1 percent or an average of .2 percent each year below the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of chemistry (7.7%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of 1.4 percent less than the faculty in the discipline/major field of chemistry.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in chemistry is higher at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 49.6 percent vs. 25.3 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 45.5 percent vs. 24.7 percent. The differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant



professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in chemistry in the public studies was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 3.6 percent (61/1,678) vs. 4.3 percent (2,434/58,568) and higher in 1995-96, 5.0 percent (84/1,691) vs. 4.7 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of chemistry was reported in 283 of the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 1,213 faculty was \$44,791, an average salary 3.8 percent higher than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 293 of the same 337 private institutions reported chemistry. The average salary of the 1,297 faculty was \$48,375 an average salary 1.9 percent higher than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in chemistry in the private institutions studies was 8.0 percent (\$48,375 minus \$44,791 equals \$3,584). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of chemistry over the three-year time period, is .4 percent or .13 percent each year below the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus \$43,137 equals \$4,326). In comparison to chemistry (8.0%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries 2.0 percent (10.0% minus 8.0% equals



2.0%) more than faculty in chemistry.

For both studies in the discipline/major field of chemistry, the faculty mix percentage is higher at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 47.2 percent vs. 25.4 percent (1992-93); and 43.6 percent vs. 29.1 percent, (1995-96). The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in chemistry was higher than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 4.9 percent (60/1,213) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and higher in the 1995-96 private study: 5.7 percent (74/1,297) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/major field of chemistry and compares that information with both ALL MAJOR
FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline year" of
1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies--one for public
institutions, and the other for private institutions--were conducted for the
baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of 5,879
(3.1%) faculty in the discipline/major field of chemistry participated and were
included in the 51 discipline/major fields in each of the four studies and in
the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public
institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States
participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of chemistry in 1992-93 were 6 percent and 4 percent above



the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in chemistry in 1995-96 were 4 percent and 2 percent above the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in chemistry in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of .2 percent below the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was .13 percent below the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies in chemistry, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are higher than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of chemistry is well established in the academy.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in chemistry in the 1992-93 public study was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS. However, in the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1995-96 public study and in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 private studies was higher than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of chemistry has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.



Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14

SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN COMMUNICATIONS FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs (CIP)</u>, 1990, including Communications. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of Communications as,

A summary of groups of instructional programs that describe the creation, transmission and evaluation of messages. $\!\!\!^\star$

[Classification of Instructional Programs (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, [1990]. p. 68--09).]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of Communications for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.



This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of Communications for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.



The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 26.5 for associate professors of Communications in the 1992-93 public study means that 26.5 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the <u>total</u> average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 0.95 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of Communications in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is five percent lower than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of Communications with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



PROF <u>SALARY NUM N/IN</u>	ASSO PROF SALARY NUM N/IN	ASST PROF SALARY NUM N/IN	NEW ASST PROF SALARY NUM N/IN	INSTRUCTOR SALARY NUM N/IN	ALL RANKS SALARY NUM N/IN							
PUBLIC 1992-93:			ISCIPLINE: COMMUNICATIONS JOR FIELD: Communications									
AVERAGE SALARY: 51333 340 114	41351 401 122		32322 66 46	26287 208 82	38582 1512 145							
FAC MIX PCT: 22.5%	26.5%	37.2%	4.4%	13.8%	100.0%							
SALARY FACTOR: 0.94	0.95	0.93	0.93	0.98	0.88							
ALL MAJOR FIELDS												
AVERAGE SALARY: 54518 19682 FAC MIX	43644 17249	36026 17758	34654 2434	26818 3879	43874 58568 212							
PCT: 33.6%	29.5%	30.3%	4.2%	6.6%	100.0%							
PUBLIC, 1995-96:		DISCIPLINE: COM MAJOR FIELD: Com										
AVERAGE SALARY: 55688 369 115	44510 473 131	36228 547 136	34063 89 59	28653 167 72	42548 1556 150							
FAC MIX PCT: 23.7%	30.4%	35.2%	5.7%	10.7%	100.0%							
SALARY FACTOR: 0.93	0.94	0.93	0.94	0.98	0.89							
ALL MAJOR FIELDS												
AVERAGE SALARY: 59610 20428 FAC MIX	47366 18254	38928 17820	36373 2811	29106 3838	47858 60340 212							
PCT: 33.9%	30.3%	29.5%	4.7%	6.4%	100.0%							
PRIVATE, 92-93: AVERAGE		DISCIPLINE: COMMAJOR FIELD: Com										
SALARY: 49670 181 89 FAC MIX	40580 305 129	32383 398 148	30432 49 39	26140 85 55	37645 969 198							
PCT: 18.7% SALARY	31.5%	41.1%	5.1%	8.8%	100.0%							
FACTOR: 0.91	0.96	0.93	0.93	0.90	0.87							
AVERAGE		ALL MAJOR	FIELDS	٠.								
	42331 10862	34956 11225	32785 1415	28932 1951	43137 35291 337							
PCT: 31.9%	30.8%	31.8%	4.0%	5.5%	100.0%							
PRIVATE, 1995-96:		DISCIPLINE: COMMAJOR FIELD: Com										
AVERAGE SALARY: 51973 221 102	43601 310 132	35554 399 157	34566 70 54	28989 88 61	41001 1018 207							
FAC MIX PCT: 21.7%	30.5%	39.2%	6.9%	8.6%	100.0%							
SALARY FACTOR: 0.87	0.94	0.94	0.96	0.95	0.86							
	ALL MAJOR FIELDS											
AVERAGE SALARY: 60032 11948	46167 11659	37984 11222	36092 1807	30425 1684	47463 36513 337							
FAC MIX PCT: 32.7%	31.9%	30.7%	4.9%	4.6%	100.0%							

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of Communications was reported in 29 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 165 faculty was \$45,531. This average salary was approximately 3.8 percent higher than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, Communications was reported in 35 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 181 faculty was \$51,339. This average salary was approximately 7.3 percent higher than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of Communications in the public institutions studied was 12.8 percent (\$51,339 minus \$45,531 equals \$5,808). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in Communications average faculty salaries over the three-year period by 4.4 percent or an average of 1.5 percent each year above the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of Communications (12.8%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of 3.7 percent less than the faculty in the discipline/major field of Communications.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in Communications is higher at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank:



22.5 percent vs. 37.2 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 23.7 percent vs. 35.2 percent. The differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in Communications in the public studies was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 4.4 percent (66/1,512) VS. 4.2 percent (2,434/58,568) and higher in 1995-96, 5.7 percent (89/1,556) vs. 4.7 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of Communications was reported in 196 of the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 969 faculty was \$37,645, an average salary 14.6 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 207 of the same 337 private institutions reported Communications. The average salary of the 1,018 faculty was \$41,001, an average salary 15.8 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in Communications in the private institutions studies was 8.9 percent (\$41,001 minus \$37,645 equals \$3,356). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of Communications over the three-year time period, is .5 percent or .17 percent each year above the cost-of-living.



The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus \$43,137 equals \$4,326). In comparison to Communications (8.9%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries 1.1 percent (10.0% minus 8.9% equals 1.1%) more than faculty in Communications.

For both studies in the discipline/major field of Communications, the faculty mix percentage is lower at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 18.0 percent vs. 41.1 percent (1992-93); and 21.7 percent vs. 39.2 percent, (1995-96). The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Communications was lower than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 5.1 percent (49/909 vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and higher in the 1995-96 private study: 6.9 percent (1/28) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/major field of Communications and compares that information with both ALL MAJOR
FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline year" of
1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies--one for public
institutions, and the other for private institutions--were conducted for the
baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of 5,055
(2.6%) faculty in the discipline/major field of Communications participated and
were included in the 51 disciplines/major fields in each of the four studies
and in the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public
institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States



participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of Communications in 1992-93 were 12 percent and 13 percent below the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in Communications in 1995-96 were 11 percent and 14 percent below the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in Communications in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of 1.5 percent above the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was .17 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies in Communications, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are lower than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of Communications is still emerging in the academy.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Communications in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public study was higher, and in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 private studies was higher than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of Communications has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.



Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of Communications has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.

Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



a

SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN COMPUTER AND INFORMATION SCIENCE FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (<u>CIP</u>), 1990, including Computer and Information Science. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/-major field of Computer and Information Science as,

A summary of groups of instructional programs that describe the design, development and operation of electronic data storage and processing systems, including hardware and software.*

[*A <u>Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, [1990]. p. 70--11).]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of Computer and Information Science for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.



This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of Computer and Information Science for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.

The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 31.1 for associate professors of Computer and Information Science in



the 1992-93 public study means that 31.1 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the <u>total</u> average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 1.14 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of Computer and Information Science in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is 14 higher than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to
compare the discipline/major field of Computer and Information Science with the
entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



NEW ASSO ASST ASST PROF PROF PROF **PROF** INSTRUCTOR ALL RANKS SALARY NUM N/IN SALARY NUM N/IN SALARY NUM SALARY NUM N/IN SALARY NUM N/IN SALARY NUM N/IN N/IN DISCIPLINE: COMPUTER AND INFORMATION SCIENCES PUBLIC 1992-93: MAJOR FIELD: Computer and Information Sciences AVERAGE SALARY: 60408 367 126 49675 472 136 43273 543 156 43240 62 47 29331 135 69 48170 1517 170 FAC MIX 35.8% PCT: 24.2% 31.1% 4.1% 8.9% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 1.11 1.14 1.20 1.25 1.09 1.10 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 54518 19682 43644 17249 36026 17758 43874 58568 212 34654 2434 26818 3879 FAC MIX PCT: 33.6% 29.5% 30.3% 4 2% 6.6% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: COMPUTER AND INFORMATION SCIENCES PUBLIC, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Computer and Information Sciences AVERAGE SALARY: 66488 469 145 54612 539 153 47330 484 154 45059 63 44 31721 114 56 54261 1606 186 FAC MIX 29.2% 33.6% 30.1% PCT: 3.9% 7.1% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 1.12 1.15 1.22 1.24 1.09 1.13 ALL MAJOR FIELDS **AVERAGE** SALARY: 59610 20428 47366 18254 38928 17820 36373 2811 29106 3838 47858 60340 212 FAC MIX 33.9% PCT: 30.3% 29.5% 4.7% 6.4% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: COMPUTER AND INFORMATION SCIENCES PRIVATE, 92-93: MAJOR FIELD: Computer and Information Sciences AVERAGE SALARY: 58383 163 45890 324 144 38969 322 166 35963 26 25 33613 66 41 44744 875 223 FAC MIX PCT: 18.6% 37.0% 36.8% 3.0% 7.5% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 1.07 1.08 1.11 1.10 1.16 1.04 ALL MAJOR FIELDS **AVERAGE** SALARY: 54539 11253 . 42331 10862 34956 11225 32785 1415 28932 1951 43137 35291 337 FAC MIX PCT: 31.9% 30.8% 31.8% 4.0% 5.5% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: COMPUTER AND INFORMATION SCIENCES PRIVATE, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Computer and Information Sciences AVERAGE SALARY: 63443 49561 321 149 40629 278 146 37755 46 36 47 33 48352 808 219 162 33767 FAC MIX PCT: 20.0% 39.7% 34.4% 5.7% 5.8% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 1.06 1.07 1.07 1.02 1.05 1.11 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 60032 11948 46167 11659 37984 11222 36092 1807 30425 1684 47463 36513 337 FAC MIX PCT: 32.7% 31.9% 30.7% 4.9% 4.6% 100.0%

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of Computer and Information Science was reported in 170 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 1,517 faculty was \$48,170. This average salary was approximately 9.8 percent higher than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, Computer and Information Science was reported in 186 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 1,606 faculty was \$54,261. This average salary was approximately 13.4 percent higher than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of Computer and Information Science in the public institutions studied was 12.6 percent (\$54,261 minus \$48,170 equals \$6,091). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in Computer and Information Science average faculty salaries over the three-year period by 4.2 percent or an average of 1.4 percent each year above the cost-of-living.

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of Computer and Information Science (12.6%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of 3.5 percent less than the faculty in the discipline/major field of Computer and Information Science.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in Computer and Information Science is lower at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 24.2 percent vs. 35.8 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 29.2



percent vs. 30.1 percent. The differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in Computer and Information Science in the public studies was higher than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 4.9 percent (62/1,517 vs. 4.2 percent (2,434/58,568) and lower in 1995-96, 3.9 percent (63/1,606) vs. 4.7 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of Computer and Information Science was reported in 223 the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 875 faculty was \$44,744, which was 3.7 percent higher than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 219 of the same 337 private institutions reported Computer and Information Science. The average salary of the 808 faculty was \$48,352, an average salary 1.9 percent higher than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in Computer and Information Science in the private institutions studies was 8.1 percent (\$48,352 minus \$44,744 equals \$3,608). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of Computer and Information Science over the three-year time period, is .3 percent or .1 percent each year below the cost-of-living.



The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus \$43,137 equals \$4,326). In comparison to Computer and Information Science (8.1%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries 1.9 percent (10.0% minus 8.1 equals 1.9%) more than faculty in Computer and Information Science.

For both studies in the discipline/major field of Computer and Information Science, the faculty mix percentage is lower at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 18.6 percent vs. 36.8 percent (1992-93); and 20.0 percent vs. 34.4 percent, (1995-96). The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Computer and Information Science was lower than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 3.0 percent (26/875) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and higher in the 1995-96 private study: 5.7 percent (46/804) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/-major field of Computer and Information Science and compares that information with both ALL MAJOR FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies--one for public institutions, and the other for private institutions--were conducted for the baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of 4,806 (2.5%) faculty in the discipline/major field of Computer and In-



formation Science participated and were included in the 51 disciplines/major fields in each of the four studies and in the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/-major field of Computer and Information Science in 1992-93 were ten percent and four percent above the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in Computer and Information Science in 1995-96 were 13 percent and two percent above the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in Computer and Information Science in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of 1.4 percent above the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was .1 percent below the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies in Computer and Information Science, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are lower than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of Computer and Information Science is still emerging in the academy.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Computer and Information Science in the 1992-93 public study and in the 1995-96 private study was higher than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS. However, in the



1995-96 public study and in the 1993-93 private study the hiring rate for new assistant professors was lower than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of Computer and Information Science has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.

Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN COUNSELOR EDUCATION/STUDENT COUNSELING AND GUIDANCE SERVICES FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (<u>CIP</u>), 1990, including Counselor Education/Student Counseling and Guidance Services The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of Counselor Education/Student Counseling and Guidance Services as,

An instructional program that prepares individuals to apply the theory and principles of guidance and counseling to the provision of support for the personal, social, educational, and vocational development of students, and the organizing of guidance services within elementary, meddle and secondary educational institutions. Includes instruction in legal and professional requirements, therapeutic counselor intervention, vocational counseling, and related socio-psychological foundations.*

[*A <u>Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, [1990]. p. 79--13.1101).]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of Counselor Education/Student Counseling and Guidance Services for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline



year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of Counselor Education/Student Counseling and Guidance Services for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.



The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline-/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 30.5 for associate professors of Counselor Education/Student Counseling and Guidance Services in the 1992-93 public study means that 30.5 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the <u>total</u> average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 0.94 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of Counselor Education/Student Counseling and Guidance Services in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is six percent lower than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of Counselor Education/Student Counseling and Guidance Services with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



NEW ASS₀ ASST ASST PROF PROF PROF PROF INSTRUCTOR ALL RANKS SALARY NUM N/IN SALARY NUM N/IN SALARY NUM N/IN SALARY NUM_N/IN SALARY NUM N/IN SALARY_NUM DISCIPLINE: EDUCATION MAJOR FIELD: Counselor Educ/Student Counseling and Guidance Services PUBLIC 1992-93: AVERAGE SALARY: 51254 159 40905 120 52 34463 104 44 33949 26902 42990 23 16 11 FAC MIX 40.4% 30.5% PCT: 26.4% 5.8% 2.8% 100.0% SALARY 0.98 FACTOR: 0.94 0.94 0.96 1.00 0.98 ALL MAJOR FIELDS **AVERAGE** SALARY: 54518 19682 43644 17249 36026 17758 34654 2434 26818 3879 43874 58568 212 FAC MIX PCT: 33.6% 29.5% 30.3% 4.2% 6.6% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: EDUCATION PUBLIC, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Counselor Educ/Student Counseling and Guidance Services AVERAGE SALARY: 58545 169 50 45349 137 52 37974 130 52 36046 28 21 30741 12 10 47796 448 64 FAC MIX 29.0% PCT: 37.7% 30.6% 6.2% 2.7% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 0.98 0.99 0.96 0.98 1.06 1.00 ALL MAJOR FIELDS **AVERAGE** SALARY: 59610 20428 47366 18254 38928 17820 47858 60340 36373 2811 29106 3838 212 FAC MIX PCT: 33.9% 30.3% 29.5% 4.7% 6.4% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: EDUCATION PRIVATE, 92-93: MAJOR FIELD: Counselor Educ/Student Counseling and Guidance Services AVERAGE SALARY: 53446 22 39836 34068 41.752 78 22 12 30 14 26 18 36750 2 FAC MIX 38.5% PCT: 28.2% 33.3% 2.6% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 0.98 0.94 0.97 1.12 0.97 ALL MAJOR FIELDS **AVERAGE** SALARY: 54539 11253 42331 10862 34956 11225 32785 1415 28932 1951 43137 35291 337 FAC MIX PCT: 31.9% 30.8% 31.8% 4.0% 5.5% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: EDUCATION PRIVATE, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Counselor Educ/Student Counseling and Guidance Services **AVERAGE** SALARY: 62205 38 17 44702 44 21 38037 48 23 36662 10 31784 1 47238 131 32 FAC MIX 29.0% PCT: 33.6% 36.6% 7.6% 0.8% 100.0% SALARY 0.97 FACTOR: 1.04 1.00 1.02 1.00 1.04 ALL MAJOR FIELDS **AVERAGE** SALARY: 60032 11948 36092 1807 46167 11659 37984 11222 30425 1684 47463 36513 337 FAC MIX PCT: 32.7% 31.9% 4.9% 30.7% 100.0% 4.6%

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of Counselor Education/Student Counseling and Guidance Services was reported in 58 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 394 faculty was \$42,990. This average salary was approximately 2.1 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, Counselor Education/Student Counseling and Guidance Services was reported in 64 of the same 337 public institutions. The average salary of the 448 faculty was \$47,796. This average salary was approximately .3 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of Counselor Education/Student Counseling and Guidance Services in the public institutions studied was 11.2 percent (\$47,796 minus \$42,990 equals \$4,806). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in Counselor Education/Student Counseling and Guidance Services average faculty salaries over the three-year period by 2.8 percent or an average of 0.9 percent each year above the cost-of-living.

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of Counselor Education/Student Counseling and Guidance Services (11.2%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of 2.1 percent less than the faculty in the discipline/major field of Counselor Education/Student Counseling and Guidance Services.



In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in Counselor Education/Student Counseling and Guidance Services is higher at the professor rank than
at the assistant professor rank: 40.4 percent vs. 26.4 percent; in the 1995-96
study it is 37.7 percent vs. 29.0 percent. The differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS
for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9
percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in Counselor Education/Student Counseling and Guidance Services in the public studies was higher than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 5.8 percent (23/394) vs. 4.2 percent (2,434/58,568) and higher in 1995-96, 6.2 percent (28/448) vs. 4.7 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of Counselor Education/Student Counseling and Guidance Services was reported in 22 the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 78 faculty was \$41,752, which was 3.3 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 32 of the same 337 private institutions reported Counselor Education/Student Counseling and Guidance Services The average salary of the 131 faculty was \$47,238, an average salary .5 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in Counselor Education/Student Counseling and Guidance Services in the private institutions studies was 13.1 percent (\$47, $\angle 38$ minus \$41,752 equals \$5,486). The CPI



increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of Counselor Education/Student Counseling and Guidance Services over the three-year time period, is 4.7 percent or 1.6 percent each year above the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus \$43,137 equals \$4,326). In comparison to Counselor Education/Student Counseling and Guidance Services (13.1%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries 3.1 percent (13.1% minus 10.0 equals 3.1%) less than faculty in Counselor Education/Student Counseling and Guidance Services

For both studies in the discipline/major field of Counselor Education/Student Counseling and Guidance Services the faculty mix percentage is lower at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 28.2 percent vs. 33.3 percent (1992-93); and 29.0 percent vs. 36.6 percent, (1995-96). The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Counselor Education/Student Counseling and Guidance Services was lower than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 2.6 percent (2/78) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and higher in the 1995-96 private study: 7.6 percent (83/1,935) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/-major field of Counselor Education/Student Counseling and Guidance Services and compares that information with both ALL MAJOR FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 through the "trend year" of



1995-96. Two studies—one for public institutions, and the other for private institutions—were conducted for the baseline year and for the trend year—a total of four studies. A total of 1,051 (5.5%) faculty in the discipline/major field of Counselor Education/Student Counseling and Guidance Services participated and were included in the 51 disciplines/major fields in each of the four studies and in the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of Counselor Education/Student Counseling and Guidance Services in 1992-93 were two percent and three percent above the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in Counselor Education/Student Counseling and Guidance Services in 1995-96 were the same as the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in Counselor Education/Student Counseling and Guidance Services in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of .9 percent above the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was 1.6 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public studies in Counselor Education/Student Counseling and Guidance Services, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are higher than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in the public studies the discipline/major field of Counselor Education/Student



Counseling and Guidance Services is firmly established and ongoing in the academy. However, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 private studies in Counselor Education/Student Counseling and Guidance Services, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are lower than those for assistant professor rank, indicating in the private studies the discipline/major field of Counselor Education/Student Counseling and Guidance Services is still emerging in the academy.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Counselor Education/Student Counseling and Guidance Services in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public studies and in the 1995-96 private study were higher than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS. However, in the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1992-93 private study was lower than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of Counselor Education/Student Counseling and Guidance Services has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.

Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (<u>CIP</u>), 1990, including Curriculum and Instruction. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of Curriculum and Instruction as,

An instructional program that describes the study of the curriculum and related instructional processes and tools, and that may prepare individuals to serve as professional curriculum specialists. Includes instruction in curriculum theory, curriculum design and planning, instructional material design and evaluation, curriculum evaluation, and applications to specific subject-matter, programs or education levels.*

[*A <u>Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, [1990]. p. 75--13.0301).]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of Curriculum and Instruction for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337



also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of Curriculum and Instruction for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.

The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given disci-



pline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 25.5 for associate professors of Curriculum and Instruction in the 1992-93 public study means that 25.5 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the total average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 0.90 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of Curriculum and Instruction in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is ten percent lower than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of Curriculum and Instruction with the entire
data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



PROF SALARY NUM N/IN			<u>/IN</u>	ASSO PROF SALARY NUM N/IN		N/IN	ASST PROF SALARY NUM N/IN			NEW ASST PROF SALARY NUM N/IN			INSTRUCTOR SALARY NUM N/IN			ALL RANKS SALARY NUM N/IN			
DISCIPLINE: EDUCATION PUBLIC 1992-93: MAJOR FIELD: Curriculum and Instruction																			
AVERAGE SALARY:	48336	221	41	3935 9	169	144	3256 9	206	44	31409	35	21	26244	67	20	38916	663	50	
FAC MIX PCT: SALARY	33.3%			25.5%			31.1%			5.3%			10.1%			100.0%			
FACTOR:	0.89			0.90			0.90			0.91			0.98			0.89			
				•				ALL M	AJOR	FIELDS									
AVERAGE SALARY: FAC MIX	54518	19682		. 43644	1724	9	36026	17758		34654	2434		26818	3879		43874	58568	212	
PCT:	33.6%			29.5%			30.3%			4.2%			6.6%			1.00.0%			
PUBLIC, 1995-96: DISCIPLINE: EDUCATION MAJOR FIELD: Curriculum and Instruction																			
AVERAGE SALARY:	56683			45541	246	52	36561	277	49	33594	44	21	30536	63	26	45602	899	57	
FAC MIX PCT:	34.8%			27.4%			30.8%			4.9%			7.0%			100.0%			
SALARY FACTOR:	0.95			0.96			0.94			0.92			1.05			0.95			
							,	ALL M	AJOR	R FIELDS									
AVERAGE SALARY:	59610	20428	1	47366	1825	4	38928	17820)	36373	2811		29106	3838		47858	60340	212	
FAC MIX PCT:				30.3%			29.5%			4.7%			6.4%			100.0%			
				. .		- -									 .	. -	. -		
PRIVATE	, 92-9	<u>3</u> :				MA.JO	DIS R FIELD	SCIPLI : Curr	NE:	EDUCATION and I)N [nstru	ctio	n						
AVERAGE SALARY: FAC MIX	56825		10	41406	29	12	36045	26	9	33523	6	4	25783	4	2	44474	90	16	
PCT:	34.4%			32.2%			28.9%			6.7%			4.4%			100.0%			
SALARY FACTOR:	1.04			0.98			1.03			1.02			0.89	•		1.03			
								ALL M	LAJ01	R FIELDS						•			
AVERAGE SALARY:	54539	11253	3	42331	1086	52	34956	11225	5	32785	1415		28932	1951		43137	35291	337	
FAC MIX PCT:				30.8%			31.8%			4.0%			5.5%			100.0%			
										EDUCATION									
PRIVATE AVERAGE		<u>-96</u> :				MAJO	R FIELD	: Cur	ricu	lum and	Instr	uctio	on						
SALARY: FAC MIX	60112	36	13	47773	25	11	39686	30	12	38394	7	4	26613		1	49242		18	
PCT:	38.3%	5		26.6%	,		31.9%			7.4%			3.2%			100.0%			
SALARY FACTOR:	1.00)		1.03			1.04			1.06			0.87			1.04			
	ALL MAJOR FIELDS																		
AVERAGE SALARY:		1194	8	46167	116	59	37984	1122	2	36092	1807		30425	1684		47463	36513	337	
FAC MIX				31.9%			30.7%	3		4.9%	i		4.6%			100.0%			

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of Curriculum and Instruction was reported in 50 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 663 faculty was \$38,916. This average salary was approximately 12.7 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, Curriculum and Instruction was reported in 57 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 899 faculty was \$45,603. This average salary was approximately 4.9 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of Curriculum and Instruction in the public institutions studied was 17.2 percent (\$45,603 minus \$38,916 equals \$6,687). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in Curriculum and Instruction average faculty salaries over the three-year period by 8.8 percent or an average of 2.9 percent each year above the cost-of-living.

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of Curriculum and Instruction (17.2%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of 8.1 percent less than the faculty in the discipline/major field of Curriculum and Instruction.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in Curriculum and Instruction is higher at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 33.3 percent vs. 31.1 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 34.8 percent vs. 36.8



percent. The differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in Curriculum and Instruction in the public studies was higher than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 5.3 percent (35/663) vs. 4.2 percent (2,434/58,568) and higher in 1995-96, 4.9 percent (44/899) vs. 4.7 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of Curriculum and Instruction was reported in 16 the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 90 faculty was \$44,474, an average salary 3.1 percent higher than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 18 of the same 337 private institutions reported Curriculum and Instruction. The average salary of the 94 faculty was \$49,242, an average salary 3.7 percent higher than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in Curriculum and Instruction in the private institutions studies was 10.7 percent (\$49,242 minus \$44,474 equals \$4,768). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of Curriculum and Instruction over the three-year time period, is 2.3 percent or .8 percent each year above the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus



\$43,137 equals \$4,326). In comparison to Curriculum and Instruction (10.7%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries .7 percent (10.7% minus 10.0 equals .7%) less than faculty in Curriculum and Instruction.

For both studies in the discipline/major field of Engineering, the faculty mix percentage is higher at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 34.4 percent vs. 28.9 percent (1992-93); and 38.3 percent vs. 31.9 percent, (1995-96). The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Curriculum and Instruction was higher than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 6.7 percent (6/90) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and higher in the 1995-96 private study: 7.4 percent (7/94) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/major field of Curriculum and Instruction and compares that information with
both ALL MAJOR FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies--one for
public institutions, and the other for private institutions--were conducted for
the baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of
1,746 (.9%) faculty in the discipline/major field of Curriculum and Instruction
participated and were included in the 51 disciplines/major fields in each of
the four studies and in the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The
same 212 public institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the
United States participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a varie-



ty of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/-major field of Curriculum and Instruction in 1992-93 were 11 percent below and 3 percent above the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in Curriculum and Instruction in 1995-96 were 5 percent below and four percent above the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in Curriculum and Instruction in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of 2.9 percent above the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was .8 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies in Curriculum and Instruction, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are higher than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of Curriculum and Instruction is firmly established and ongoing in the academy.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies was higher than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of Curriculum and Instruction has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.



Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN DRAMA/THEATER ARTS, GENERAL FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (<u>CIP</u>), 1990, including Drama/Theater Arts. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of Drama/Theater Arts as,

An instructional program that generally describes the study of dramatic works and their performance. Includes instruction in major works of dramatic literature, dramatic styles and types, and the principles of organizing and producing full productions.*

[*A <u>Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, [1990]. p. 164--50.0501).]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of Drama/Theater Arts for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also



participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of Drama/Theater Arts for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.



The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 32.6 for associate professors of Drama/Theater Arts in the 1992-93 public study means that 32.6 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the total average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 0.89 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of Drama/Theater Arts in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is 11 percent lower than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of Drama/Theater Arts with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



<u>s</u>	PF SALARY	/ <u>IN</u>	ASSO PROF SALARY NUM N/IN			ASST PROF SALARY NUM N/IN			NEW ASST PROF SALARY NUM N/IN			INSTRUCTOR SALARY NUM N/IN			ALL FANKS SALARY NUM N/IN			
DISCIPLINE: VISUAL AND PERFORMING ARTS PUBLIC 1992-93: MAJOR FIELD: Drama/Theater Arts, General AVERAGE																		
SALARY:	50548	195	78	38970	213	93	31133	204	88	29703	35	27	25361	42	26	39104	654	116
FAC MIX	29.8%			32.6%			31.2%			5.4%			6.4%			100.0%		
SALARY FACTOR:	0.93			0.89			0.86			0.86			0.95			0.89		
ALL MAJOR FIELDS																		
AVERAGE SALARY: FAC MIX	54518	19682		43644	17249		36026	17758		34654	2434		26818	3879		43874	58568	212
PCT:	33.6%			.29.5%			30.3%			4.2%			6.6%			100.0%		
DISCIPLINE: VISUAL AND PERFORMING ARTS PUBLIC, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Drama/Theater Arts, General																		
AVERAGE SALARY:		210	85	42905	217	87	32825	211	92	30808	44	31	26592	22	17	43190	660	116
FAC MIX PCT:	31.8%			32.9%			32.0%			6.7%			3.3%			100.0%		
SALARY FACTOR:	0.93			0.91			0.84			0.85			0.91			0.90		
								ALL M	AJOR	FIELDS								
AVERAGE SALARY:	59610	20428	;	47366	18254		38928	17820)	36373	2811		29106	3838		47858	60340	212
FAC MIX PCT:	33.9%			30.3%			29.5%			4.7%			6.4%			100.0%		
				. .	- -					. .								
PRIVATE	. 92-9:	3:		-	1					ND PERFO								
AVERAGE SALARY:	50846	- 129	71	39167	176	96	31321	173	108	29101	22	19	30841	37	26	38859	515	1.67
FAC MIX PCT:	25.0%			34.2%			33.6%			4.3%			7.2%			100.0%		
SALARY FACTOR:	0.93			0.93			0.90			0.89			1.07			0.90		
								ALL M	AJOR	FIELDS								
AVERAGE SALARY:		11253		42331	10862		34956	11225	;	32785	1415		28932	1951		43137	35291	337
FAC MIX PCT:	31.9%			30.8%			31.8%			4.0%			5.5%			100.0%		
						DIS	CIPLINE:	VISU	AL A	ND PERF	ORMING	ART	S		•			
PRIVATE AVERAGE		<u>-96</u> :				MAJO	R FIELD:	Dram	a/Th	eater Ar	rts, G	enera	a 1					
SALARY: FAC MIX		149	89	42936	203	112	33836	211	107	30965	35	28	29544	29	22	42022	592	182
PCT: SALARY	25.2%			34.3%			35.6%			5.9%			4.9%			100.0%		
FACTOR:	0.91			0.93			0.89			0.86			C.97			0.89		
AVERAGE								ALL M	AJOR	FIELDS								
SALARY: FAC MIX	60032	11948	3	46167	11659)	37984	11222	2	36092	1807		30425	1684		47463	36513	337
PCT:	32.7%			31.9%			30.7%			4.9%			4.6%			100.0%		





RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of Drama/Theater Arts was reported in 116 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 654 faculty was \$39,104. This average salary was approximately 12.2 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, Drama/Theater Arts was reported in 116 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 660 faculty was \$43,190. This average salary was approximately 10.8 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of Drama/Theater Arts in the public institutions studied was 10.4 percent (\$43,190 minus \$39,104 equals \$4,086). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in Drama/Theater Arts average faculty salaries over the three-year period by 2.0 percent or an average of .7 percent each year above the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of Drama/Theater Arts (10.4%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of 1.3 percent less than the faculty in the discipline/major field of Drama/Theater Arts.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in Drama/Theater Arts is higher at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 29.8 percent vs. 31.2 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 31.8 percent vs. 32.0 percent. The



differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in Drama/Theater Arts in the public studies was higher than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 5.3 percent (35/654) vs. 4.2 percent (2,434/58,568) and higher in 1995-96, 6.7 percent (44/660) vs. 4.7 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of Drama/Theater Arts was reported in 167 the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 515 faculty was \$38,859, an average salary 11.0 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 182 of the same 337 private institutions reported Drama/Theater Arts. The average salary of the 592 faculty was \$42,022, an average salary 12.9 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in Drama/Theater Arts in the private institutions studies was 8.1 percent (\$42,022 minus \$38,859 equals \$3,163). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of Drama/Theater Arts over the three-year time period, is .3 percent or .1 percent each year below the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus



\$43,137 equals \$4,326). In comparison to Drama/Theater Arts (8.1%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries 1.9 percent (10.0% minus 8.1 equals 1.9%) more than faculty in Drama/Theater Arts.

For both studies in the discipline/major field of Drama/Theater Arts, the faculty mix percentage is lower at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 25.0 percent vs. 33.6 percent (1992-93); and 25.2 percent vs. 35.6 percent, (1995-96). The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Drama/Theater Arts was lower than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 4.3 percent (22/515) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and higher in the 1995-96 private study: 5.9 percent (35/592) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/-major field of Drama/Theater Arts and compares that information with both ALL MAJOR FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies--one for public institutions, and the other for private institutions--were conducted for the baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of 7,326 (3.7%) faculty in the discipline/major field of Drama/Theater Arts participated and were included in the 51 disciplines/major fields in each of the four studies and in the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a



variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of Drama/Theater Arts in 1992-93 were 15 percent and 20 percent below

the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in Drama/Theater Arts in 1995-96 were 14 percent and 19 percent below the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in Drama/Theater Arts in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of .7 percent above the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was .9 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies in Drama/Theater Arts, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are lower than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of Drama/Theater Arts is still emerging in the academy.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Drama/Theater Arts in the 1992-93 public study was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS. However, in the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1995-96 public study and in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 private studies was higher than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of Drama/Theater Arts has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and



evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.

Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN ECONOMICS, GENERAL FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by A Classification of Instructional Programs (CIP), 1990, including Economics. The CIP defines the discipline/major field of Economics as,

that generally describes the instructional program An the production, conservation and οf systematic study allocation of resources in conditions of scarcity, together frameworks related organizational processes. Includes instruction in economic theory, micro-and comparative economic systems, money and macro-economics, international economics, quantitative banking systems, analytical methods, and applications to specific industries and public policy issues.*

[*A <u>Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, [1990]. p. 144-145--45.0601).]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of Economics for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212



institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of Economics for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for



a given academic rank and discipline/major field.

The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 30.2 for associate professors of Economics in the 1992-93 public study means that 30.2 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the <u>total</u> average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 1.09 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of Economics in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is nine percent higher than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to
compare the discipline/major field of Economics with the entire data base for
each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



3 -

NEW ASS0 ASST ASST PROF PROF PROF PROF INSTRUCTOR ALL RANKS SALARY NUM N/IN DISCIPLINE: SOCIAL SCIENCES AND HISTORY PUBLIC 1992-93: MAJOR FIELD: Economics, General AVERAGE SALARY: 58661 366 93 47663 292 91 40906 266 84 41449 34 2.5 25086 44 1.8 48938 968 108 FAC MIX 37.8% PCT: 30.2% 27.5% 3.5% 4.5% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 1.08 1.09 1.14 1.20 0.94 1.12 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 54518 19682 43644 17249 36026 17758 34654 2434 26818 3879 43874 58568 212 FAC MIX PCT: 33.6% 29.5% 30.3% 4.2% 6.6% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: SOCIAL SCIENCES AND HISTORY PUBLIC, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Economics, General AVERAGE SALARY: 64841 354 87 51308 280 87 44776 221 87 40895 32907 20 54713 875 1.06 33 28 15 FAC MIX PCT: 40.5% 32.0% 25.3% 3.8% 2.3% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 1.09 1.08 1.15 1.12 1.13 1.14 ALL MAJOR FIELDS **AVERAGE** SALARY: 59610 20428 47366 18254 38928 17820 36373 2811 29106 3838 47858 60340 212 FAC MIX 33.9% 30.3% 4.7% PCT: 29.5% 6.4% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: SOCIAL SCIENCES AND HISTORY PRIVATE, 92-93: MAJOR FIELD: Economics, General **AVERAGE** SALARY: 64470 88 45970 275 109 41266 251 104 49549 777 152 228 39216 25 20 34812 23 20 FAC MIX 32.3% 29.3% PCT: 35.4% 3.2% 3.0% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 1.18 1.09 1.18 1.20 1.20 1.15 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 54539 11253 42331 10862 34956 11225 32785 1415 28932 1951 43137 35291 337 FAC MIX PCT: 31.9% 30.8% 31.8% 4.0% 5.5% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: SOCIAL SCIENCES AND HISTORY PRIVATE, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Economics, General AVERAGE SALARY: 71678 251 99 50834 307 117 44444 211 94 42136 34 26 38551 12 10 55618 781 154 FAC MIX PCT: 32.1% 39.3% 27.0% 4.4% 1.5% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 1.19 1.10 1.17 1.17 1.27 1.17 ALL MAJOR FIELDS **AVERAGE** SALARY: 60032 11948 37984 11222 46167 11659 36092 1807 30425 1684 47463 36513 337 FAC MIX PCT: 32.7% 31.9% 30.7% 4.9% 4.6% 100.0%

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of Economics was reported in 108 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 968 faculty was \$48,938. This average salary was approximately 11.5 percent higher than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58.568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, Economics was reported in 106 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 875 faculty was \$54,713. This average salary was approximately 14.3 percent higher than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of Economics in the public institutions studied was 11.8 percent (\$54,713 minus \$48,938 equals \$5,775). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in Economics average faculty salaries over the three-year period by 3.4 percent or an average of 1.1 percent each year above the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of Economics (11.8%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of 2.7 percent less than the faculty in the discipline/major field of Economics.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in Economics is higher at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 37.8 percent vs. 27.5 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 40.5 percent vs. 25.3 percent. The



in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in Economics in the public studies was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93.

3.5 percent (34/968) vs. 4.2 percent (2,434/58,568) and lower in 1995-96, 3.8 percent (33/875) vs. 4.7 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of Economics was reported in 152 of the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 777 faculty was \$49,549, an average salary 14.9 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 154 of the same 337 private institutions reported Economics. The average salary of the 781 faculty was \$55,618, an average salary 17.2 percent higher than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in Economics in the private institutions studies was 12.2 percent (\$55,618 minus \$49,549 equals \$6,069). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of Economics over the three-year time period, is 3.8 percent or 1.3 percent each year above the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus



\$43,137 equals \$4,326). In comparison to Economics (12.2%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries 2.2 percent (12.2% minus 10.0% equals 2.2%) less than faculty in Economics.

For the 1992-93 study in the discipline/major field of Economics, the faculty mix percentage is lower at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 29.3 percent vs. 32.3 percent; and higher in the 1995-96 study, 32.1 percent vs. 27.0 percent. The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Economics was lower than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 3.2 percent (25/777) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and lower in the 1995-96 private study: 4.3 percent (34/781) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/-major field of Economics and compares that information with both ALL MAJOR FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies--one for public institutions, and the other for private institutions--were conducted for the baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of 7,326 (3.7%) faculty in the discipline/major field of Economics participated and were included in the 51 disciplines/major fields in each of the four studies and in the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.



Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of Economics in 1992-93 were 15 percent and 20 percent below the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in Economics in 1995-96 were 14 percent and 19 percent below the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in Economics in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of .7 percent above the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was .9 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies in Economics, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are lower than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of Economics is still emerging in the academy.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Economics in the 1992-93 public study was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS. However, in the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1995-96 public study and in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 private studies was higher than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of Economics has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.



anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.

Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN EDUCATION FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by A Classification of Instructional Programs (CIP), 1990, including Education. The CIP defines the discipline/major field of Education as,

A summary of groups of instructional programs that describe the theory and practice of learning and teaching, and related research, administrative and support service.*

[*A <u>Classification</u> of <u>Instructional</u> <u>Programs</u> (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, [1990].

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of Education for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in



in both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.

The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT



factor of 27.9 for associate professors of Education in the 1992-93 public study means that 27.9 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the <u>total</u> average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 0.97 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of Education in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is three percent lower than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of Education with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



PROF <u>SALARY NUM N/IN</u>	ASSO PROF SALARY NUM N/IN	ASST PROF SALARY NUM N/IN	NEW ASST PROF SALARY NUM N/IN	INSTRUCTOR SALARY NUM N/IN	ALL RANKS SALARY NUM N/IN
DISCIPLINE: EDUCATION PUBLIC 1992-93: MAJOR FIELD: Education					
AVERAGE SALARY: 51851 1138 142	42163 922 142	34246 1058 142	32745 159 77	26545 192 72	42057 3310 149
FAC MIX PCT: 34.4%	27.9%	32.0%	4.8%	5.8%	100.0%
SALARY FACTOR: 0.95	0.97	0.95	0.94	0.99	0.96
ALL MAJOR FIELDS					
AVERAGE SALARY: 54518 19682	43644 17249	36026 17758	34654 2434	26818 3879	43874 58568 212
FAC MIX PCT: 33.6%	29.5%	30.3%	4.2%	6.6%	100.0%
PUBLIC, 1995-96:		DISCIPLINE: MAJOR FIELD:			
AVERAGE SALARY: 55609 986 135	44927 983 137	37154 1109 135	34912 197 85	30051 228 73	44480 3306 144
FAC MIX PCT: 29.8%	29.7%	33.5%	6.0%	6.9%	100.0%
SALARY FACTOR: 0.93	0.95	0.95	0.96	1.03	0.93
ALL MAJOR FIELDS					
AVERAGE SALARY: 59610 20428	47366 18254	38928 17820	36373 2811	29106 3838	47858 60340 212
FAC MIX PCT: 33.9%	30.3%	29.5%	4.7%	6.4%	100.0%
DISCIPLINE: EDUCATION PRIVATE, 92-93: MAJOR FIELD: Education					
AVERAGE SALARY: 49344 401 164	39296 438 177	32464 620 198	31064 90 67	25281 88 62	38366 1547 243
FAC MIX PCT: 25.9%	28.3%	40.1%	5.8%	5.7%	100.0%
SALARY FACTOR: 0.90	0.93	0.93	0.95	0.87	0.89
ALL MAJOR FIELDS					
AVERAGE SALARY: 54539 11253	42331 10862	34956 11225	32785 1415	28932 1951	43137 35291 337
FAC MIX PCT: 31.9%	30.8%	31.8%	4.0%	5.5%	100.0%
DISCIPLINE: EDUCATION					
PRIVATE, 1995-96: AVERAGE		MAJOR FIELD	: Education		
SALARY: 54124 430 162 FAC MIX	43426 547 196	36117 639 206	34700 125 85	29101 83 53	42685 1699 250
PCT: 25.3% SALARY	32.2%	37.6%	7.4%	4.9%	100.0%
FACTOR: 0.90	0.94	0.95	0.96	0.96	0.90
ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE					
SALARY: 60032 11948	46167 11659	37984 11222	36092 1807	30425 1684	47463 36513 337
FAC MIX PCT: 32.7%	31.9%	30.7%	4.9%	4.6%	100.0%

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of Education was reported in 149 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 3,310 faculty was \$42,052. This average salary was approximately 4.3 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, Education was reported in 144 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 3,306 faculty was \$44,480. This average salary was approximately 7.6 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of Education in the public institutions studied was 5.8 percent (\$44,480 minus \$42,052 equals \$2,428). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in Education average faculty salaries over the three-year period by 2.6 percent or an average of .9 percent each year below the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of Education (5.8%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of 3.3 percent more than the faculty in the discipline/major field of Education.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in Education is higher at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 34.4 percent vs. 32.0 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 29.8 percent vs. 33.5 percent. The



differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in Education in the public studies was higher than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 4.8 percent (159/3,310) vs. 4.2 percent (2,434/58,568) and higher in 1995-96, 6.0 percent (197/3,306) vs. 4.7 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of Education was reported in 243 the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 1,547 faculty was \$38,366, an average salary 12.4 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 250 of the same 337 private institutions reported Education. The average salary of the 1,699 faculty was \$42,685, an average salary 11.2 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in Education in the private institutions studies was 11.3 percent (\$42,685 minus \$38,366 equals \$4,319). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of Education over the three-year time period, is 2.9 percent or 1.0 percent each year above the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus



\$43,137 equals \$4,326). In comparison to Education (11.3%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries 1.3 percent (11.3% minus 10.0 equals 1.3%) less than faculty in Education.

For both studies in the discipline/major field of Education, the faculty mix percentage is lower at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 25.9 percent vs. 40.1 percent (1992-93); and 25.3 percent vs. 37.6 percent, (1995-96). The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Education was higher than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 5.8 percent (90/1,547) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and higher in the 1995-96 private study: 7.4 percent (125/1,699) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/major field of Education and compares that information with both ALL MAJOR
FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline year" of
1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies--one for public
institutions, and the other for private institutions--were conducted for the
baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of 7,326
(3.7%) faculty in the discipline/major field of Education participated and were
included in the 51 disciplines/major fields in each of the four studies and in
the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public
institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States
participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a



variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of Education in 1992-93 were 15 percent and 20 percent below the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in Education in 1995-96 were 14 percent and 19 percent below the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in Education in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of .7 percent above the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was .9 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies in Education, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are lower than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of Education is still emerging in the academy.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Education in the 1992-93 public study was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS. However, in the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1995-96 public study and in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 private studies was higher than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of Education has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.



Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN EDUCATION ADMINISTRATION AND SUPERVISION, GENERAL FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (<u>CIP</u>), 1990, including Education Administration and Supervision. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of Education Administration and Supervision as,

An instructional program that generally describes the study of the principles and techniques of administering a wide variety of schools and other educational organizations and facilities, supervising educational personnel at the school of staff level, and that may prepare individuals as general administrators and supervisors.*

[*A Classification of Instructional Programs (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Administration and Supervision Statistics, [1990]. p. 75--0401).]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of Education Administration and Supervision for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's



PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of Education Administration and Supervision for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.



The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 29.8 for associate professors of Education Administration and Supervision in the 1992-93 public study means that 29.8 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the total average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 0.96 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of Education Administration and Supervision in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is four percent lower than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of Education Administration and Supervision with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



NEW ASS0 ASST ASST ALL RANKS **PROF** PROF INSTRUCTOR PROF PROF SALARY NUM N/IN SALARY NUM_N/IN DISCIPLINE: EDUCATION MAJOR FIELD: Education Administration and Supervision, General PUBLIC 1992-93: AVERAGE 34094 44365 359 55 SALARY: 52529 150 1.7 42059 107 43 35253 96 38 16 12 27156 FAC MIX 100.0% 26.7% 4.5% 1.7% 29.8% 41.8% PCT: SALARY 0.98 1.01 1.01 FACTOR: 0.96 0.96 0.98 ALL MAJOR FIELDS **AVERAGE** 43874 58568 212 34654 2434 26818 3879 SALARY: 54518 19682 43644 17249 36026 17758 FAC MIX 6.6% 100.0% 4.2% 30.3% PCT: 33.6% 29.5% DISCIPLINE: EDUCATION PUBLIC, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Education Administration and Supervision, General AVERAGE 5 5 51205 421 66 28421 SALARY: 61252 51 47842 146 56 39522 97 42 37370 24 16 FAC MIX 100.0% 5.7% 1.2% 34.7% 23.0% PCT: 41.1% SALARY 0.98 1.07 1.01 1.02 1.03 FACTOR: 1.03 ALL MAJOR FIELDS **AVERAGE** 47858 60340 212 SALARY: 59610 20428 47366 18254 -38928 17820 36373 2811 29106 3838 FAC MIX 29.5% 4.7% 6.4% 100.0% 33.9% 30.3% PCT: DISCIPLINE: EDUCATION MAJOR FIELD: Education Administration and Supervision, General PRIVATE, 92-93: AVERAGE 40886 97 27 2 SALARY: 46121 33 14 41505 34161 29 16 32535 5 41835 2 FAC MIX 2.1% 100.0% 6.2% PCT: 34.0% 34.0% 29.9% SALARY 0.95 0.99 FACTOR: 0.85 0.98 0.98 1.45 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE 43137 35291 337 42331 10862 32785 1415 28932 1951 34956 11225 SALARY: 54539 11253 FAC MIX 100.0% 4.0% 5.5% 31.9% 30.8% 31.8% PCT: DISCIPLINE: EDUCATION PRIVATE, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Education Administration and Supervision, General AVERAGE 49243 99 25 SALARY: 61090 11 46035 39 17 39397 27 15 41147 3 3 FAC MIX 100.0% 27.3% 3.0% 39.4% PCT: 33.3% SALARY 1.04 FACTOR: 1.02 1.00 1.04 1.14 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE 36092 1807 30425 1684 47463 36513 337 46167 11659 37984 11222 SALARY: 60032 11948 FAC MIX 100.0% 32.7% 31.9% 30.7% 4.9% 4.6% PCT:

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of Education Administration and Supervision was reported in 55 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 359 faculty was \$44,365. This average salary was approximately 1.1 percent higher than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, Education Administration and Supervision was reported in 66 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 421 faculty was \$51,205. This average salary was approximately 7.0 percent higher than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of Education Administration and Supervision in the public institutions studied was 15.4 percent (\$51,205 minus \$44,365 equals \$6,840). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in Education Administration and Supervision average faculty salaries over the three-year period by 7.0 percent or an average of 2.3 percent each year above the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of Education Administration and Supervision (15.4%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of 6.3 percent less than the faculty in the discipline/major field of Education Administration and Supervision.



In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in Education Administration and Supervision is higher at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 41.8 percent vs. 26.7 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 41.1 percent vs. 23.0 percent. The differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in Education Administration and Supervision in the public studies was higher than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 4.5 percent (16/359) vs. 4.2 percent (2,434/58,568) and higher in 1995-96, 5.7 percent (24/421) vs. 4.7 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of Education Administration and Supervision was reported in 27 the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 97 faculty was \$40,886, an average salary 5.5 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 25 of the same 337 private institutions reported Education Administration and Supervision. The average salary of the 99 faculty was \$49.243, an average salary 3.7 percent higher than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in Education Administration and Supervision in the private institutions studies was 20.4 percent (\$49,243 minus \$40,886 equals \$8,357). The CPI increased cost-of-living



between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of Education Administration and Supervision over the three-year time period, is 12.0 percent or 4.0 percent each year below the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus \$43,137 equals \$4,326). In comparison to Education Administration and Supervision (20.4%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries 10.4 percent (20.4% minus 10.0 equals 10.4%) more than faculty in Education Administration and Supervision.

For both studies in the discipline/major field of Education Administration and Supervision, the faculty mix percentage is lower at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 34.0 percent vs. 29.9 percent (1992-93); and 33.3 percent vs. 27.3 percent, (1995-96). The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Education Administration and Supervision was higher than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 6.2 percent (6/97) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and lower in the 1995-96 private study: 3.0 percent (3/99) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/-major field of Education Administration and Supervision and compares that information with both ALL MAJOR FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years,



from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies--one for public institutions, and the other for private institutions--were conducted for the baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of 7,326 (3.7%) faculty in the discipline/major field of Education Administration and Supervision participated and were included in the 51 disciplines/major fields in each of the four studies and in the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of Education Administration and Supervision in 1992-93 were 15 percent and 20 percent below the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in Education Administration and Supervision in 1995-96 were 14 percent and 19 percent below the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in Education Administration and Supervision in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of .7 percent above the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was .9 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies in Education Administration and Supervision, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are lower than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in both the public



and private studies the discipline/major field of Education Administration and Supervision is still emerging in the academy.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Education Administration and Supervision in the 1992-93 public study was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS. However, in the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1995-96 public study and in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 private studies was higher than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of Education Administration and Supervision has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.

Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN ENGINEERING FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (<u>CIP</u>), 1990, including Engineering. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of Engineering as,

A summary of groups of instructional programs that prepares individuals to apply mathematical and scientifical principles to the solution of practical problems for the benefit of society.*

[*A <u>Classification of D.C.: National Center for Engineering Statistics</u>, [1990]. p. 85--14).]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of Engineering for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in



both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of Engineering for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.

The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT



factor of 32.0 for associate professors of Engineering in the 1992-93 public study means that 32.0 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the total average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 1.19 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of Engineering in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is 19 percent higher than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of Engineering with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



NEW ASSO **ASST** ASST INSTRUCTOR **PROF** PROF PROF **PROF** ALL RANKS SALARY NUM N/IN SALARY NUM DISCIPLINE: ENGINEERING PUBLIC 1992-93: MAJOR FIELD: Engineering AVERAGE SALARY: 66011 847 52 52010 44898 564 58 44761 84 33 34293 681. 18 55428 2125 FAC MIX PCT: 39.9% 32.0% 26.5% 4.0% 1.6% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 1.21 1.19 1.25 1.29 1.28 1.26 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 54518 19682 43644 17249 36026 17758 34654 2434 26818 3879 43874 58568 212 FAC MIX 29.5% PCT: 33.6% 30.3% 4.2% 5.6% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: ENGINEERING PUBLIC, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Engineering AVERAGE SALARY: 71523 904 61 55786 749 66 48149 578 64 47448 92 40 36094 35 21 59812 2266 71 FAC MIX 39.9% PCT: 33.1% 25.5% 4.1% 1.5% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 1.20 1.24 1.25 1.18 1.24 1.30 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 59610 20428 47366 18254 38928 17820 36373 2811 29106 3838 47858 60340 212 FAC MIX 4.7% 33.9% PCT: 30.3% 29.5% 6.4% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: ENGINEERING PRIVATE, 92-93: MAJOR FIELD: Engineering **AVERAGE** SALARY: 71828 677 46519 358 46 39706 60118 1516 48 53995 473 43 44776 32 17 R FAC MIX PCT: 31.2% 23.6% 2.1% 0.5% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 1.32 1.28 1.33 1.37 1.37 1.39 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 54539 11253 42331 10862 34956 11225 32785 1415 28932 1951 43137 35291 337 FAC MIX 31.9% 5.5% PCT: 30.8% 31.8% 4.0% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: ENGINEERING PRIVATE, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Engineering AVERAGE SALARY: 78432 57732 468 47 50423 325 44 49677 40 19 40123 15 8 65374 1483 53 FAC MIX PCT: 45.5% 31.6% 21.9% 1.0% 100.0% 2.7% SALARY FACTOR: 1.31 1.25 1.33 1.38 1.38 1.32 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE 36092 1807 SALARY: 60032 11948 46167 11659 37984 11222 30425 1684 47463 36513 337 FAC MIX PCT: 32.7% 30.7% 4.9% 100.0% 31.9% 4.6%





RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of Engineering was reported in 62 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 2,125 faculty was \$55,428. This average salary was approximately 26.3 percent higher than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, Engineering was reported in 71 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 2,266 faculty was \$59,812. This average salary was approximately 25.0 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of Engineering in the public institutions studied was 7.9 percent (\$59,812 minus \$55,428 equals \$4,384). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in Engineering average faculty salaries over the three-year period by .5 percent or an average of .12 percent each year below the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of Engineering (7.9%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of 1.2 percent more than the faculty in the discipline/major field of Engineering.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in Engineering is higher at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 39.9 percent vs. 26.5 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 39.9 percent vs. 25.5 percent. The



differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in Engineering in the public studies was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 4.0 percent (84/2,215) vs. 4.2 percent (2,434/58,568) and lower in 1995-96, 4.1 percent (92/2,266) vs. 4.7 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of Engineering was reported in 48 the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 1,516 faculty was \$60,118, an average salary 39.4 percent higher than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 53 of the same 337 private institutions reported Engineering. The average salary of the 1,483 faculty was \$65,374, an average salary 37.7 percent higher than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in Engineering in the private institutions studies was 8.7 percent (\$65,374 minus \$60,118 equals \$5,256). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of Engineering over the three-year time period, is .3 percent or .1 percent each year above the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus



\$43,137 equals \$4,326). In comparison to Engineering (8.7%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries 1.3 percent (10.0% minus 8.7 equals 1.3%) more than faculty in Engineering.

For both studies in the discipline/major field of Engineering, the faculty mix percentage is higher at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 44.7 percent vs. 23.6 percent (1992-93); and 45.5 percent vs. 21.9 percent, (1995-96). The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Engineering was lower than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 2.1 percent (32/1.516) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and higher in the 1995-96 private study: 2.7 percent (125/1,699) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/major field of Engineering and compares that information with both ALL MAJOR
FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline year" of
1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies--one for public
institutions, and the other for private institutions--were conducted for the
baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of 7,326
(3.7%) faculty in the discipline/major field of Engineering participated and
were included in the 51 disciplines/major fields in each of the four studies
and in the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public
institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States
participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a



variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of Engineering in 1992-93 were 15 percent and 20 percent below

the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in Engineering in 1995-96 were 14 percent and 19 percent below the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in Engineering in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of .7 percent above the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was .9 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies in Engineering, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are lower than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of Engineering is still emerging in the academy.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Engineering in the 1992-93 public study was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS. However, in the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1995-96 public study and in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 private studies was higher than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of Engineering has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.



Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN ENGINEERING-RELATED TECHNOLOGIES FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (<u>CIP</u>), 1990, including Engineering-Related Technologies. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of Engineering-Related Technologies as,

A summary of groups of instructional programs that prepare individuals to apply basic engineering principles and technical skills in support of engineering and related projects.*

[*A <u>Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, [1990]. p. 93--15).]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of Engineering-Related Technologies for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used



in both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of Engineering-Related Technologies for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.

The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT



factor of 35.9 for associate professors of Engineering-Related Technologies in the 1992-93 public study means that 35.9 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the total average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 1.01 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of Engineering-Related Technologies in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is one percent above than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of Engineering-Related Technologies with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



NEW **ASSO** ASST ASST PROF PROF PROF PROF INSTRUCTOR ALL RANKS SALARY NUM N/IN SALARY NUM_ DISCIPLINE: ENGINEERING-RELATED TECHNOLOGIES PUBLIC 1992-93: MAJOR FIELD: Engineering-Related Technologies AVERAGE SALARY: 50610 243 55 44157 353 64 38029 338 63 38068 34 21 30267 49 23 42953 983 FAC MIX PCT: 35.9% 5.0% 34.4% 3.5% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 0.93 1.01 1.06 1.10 1.13 0.98 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 54518 19682 43644 17249 36026 1.7758 34654 2434 26818 3879 43874 58568 212 FAC MIX PCT: 33.6% 29.5% 30.3% 4.2% 6.6% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: ENGINEERING-RELATED TECHNOLOGIES PUBLIC, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Engineering-Related Technologies AVERAGE SALARY: 57729 203 54 48871 313 61 40823 275 62 40405 35 24 32507 25 47530 834 43 FAC MIX PCT: 24.3% 37.5% 33.0% 4.2% 5.2% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 0.97 1.03 1.05 1.11 1.12 0.99 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 59610 20428 47366 18254 38928 17820 36373 2811 29106 3838 47858 60340 212 FAC MIX 30.3% PCT: 33.9% 29.5% 4.7% 6.4% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: ENGINEERING-RELATED TECHNOLOGIES PRIVATE, 92-93: MAJOR FIELD: Engineering-Related Technologies AVERAGE SALARY: 54423 30 7 4241.6 39 12 37702 30 13 42500 3 2 39527 15 43955 114 16 FAC MIX PCT: 34.2% 26.3% 2.6% 13.2% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 1.00 1.00 1.08 1.30 1.37 1.02 ALL MAJOR FIELDS **AVERAGE** SALARY: 54539 11253 42331 10862 34956 11225 32785 1415 28932 1951 43137 35291 337 FAC MIX PCT: 31.9% 30.8% 31.8% 5.5% 4.0% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: ENGINEERING-RELATED TECHNOLOGIES PRIVATE, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Engineering-Related Technologies **AVERAGE** SALARY: 57906 10 45885 32 10 38888 23 12 42125 4 3 39063 48848 3 97 16 FAC MIX PCT: 40.2% 33.0% 23.7% 4.1% 100.0% 3.1% SALARY FACTOR: 0.96 0.99 1.02 1.17 1.28 1.03 ALL MAJOR FIELDS **AVERAGE** SALARY: 60032 11948 46167 11659 37984 11222 36092 1807 30425 1684 47463 36513 337 FAC MIX PCT: 32.7% 31.9% 30.7% 4.9% 4.6% 100.0%

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of Engineering-Related Technologies was reported in 69 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 983 faculty was \$42,953. This average salary was approximately 2.1 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, Engineering-Related Technologies was reported in 69 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 834 faculty was \$47,530. This average salary was approximately .7 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of Engineering-Related Technologies in the public institutions studied was 10.7 percent (\$47.530 minus \$42,953 equals \$4,577). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in Engineering-Related Technologies average faculty salaries over the three-year period by 2.3 percent or an average of .8 percent each year above the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of Engineering-Related Technologies (10.7%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of 1.6 percent less than the faculty in the discipline/major field of Engineering-Related Technologies.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in Engineering-Related Technologies is lower at the professor rank than at the assistant professor



rank: 24.7 percent vs. 34.4 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 24.3 percent vs. 33.0 percent. The differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in Engineering-Related Technologies in the public studies was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 3.5 percent (34/983) vs. 4.2 percent (2,434/58,568) and lower in 1995-96, 4.2 percent (35/834) vs. 4.7 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of Engineering-Related Technologies was reported in 16 the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 114 faculty was \$43,955, an average salary 1.9 percent above than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 16 of the same 337 private institutions reported Engineering-Related Technologies. The average salary of the 97 faculty was \$48,848, an average salary 2.9 percent higher than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in Engineering-Related Technologies in the private institutions studies was 11.1 percent (\$48,848 minus \$43,955 equals \$5,256). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of Engineering-Related Technologies over the three-year time period, is 3.7 percent or 1.1 percent each year above the



cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus \$43,137 equals \$4,326). In comparison to Engineering-Related Technologies (11.1%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries 1.1 percent (11.1% minus 10.0 equals 1.1%) less than faculty in Engineering-Related Technologies.

In the 1992-93 study in the discipline/major field of Engineering-Related Technologies, the faculty mix was exactly the same at the professor rank as the assistant professor rank: 26.3 percent vs. 26.3 percent (1992-93); and 40.2 percent vs. 23.7 percent, in the 1995-96 study. The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Engineering-Related Technologies was lower than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 2.6 percent (3/114) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and lower in the 1995-96 private study: 4.1 percent (4/97) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/major field of Engineering-Related Technologies and compares that information
with both ALL MAJOR FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the
"baseline year" of 1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies-one for public institutions, and the other for private institutions--were
conducted for the baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four



studies. A total of 7,326 (3.7%) faculty in the discipline/major field of Engineering-Related Technologies participated and were included in the 51 disciplines/major fields in each of the four studies and in the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of Engineering-Related Technologies in 1992-93 were 15 percent and 20 percent below the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in Engineering-Related Technologies in 1995-96 were 14 percent and 19 percent below the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in Engineering-Related Technologies in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of .7 percent above the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was .9 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies in Engineering-Related Technologies, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are lower than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of Engineering-Related Technologies is still emerging in the academy.



Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Engineering-Related Technologies in the 1992-93 public study was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS. However, in the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1995-96 public study and in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 private studies was higher than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of Engineering-Related Technologies has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.

Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE/LETTERS FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (<u>CIP</u>), 1990, including English Language and Literature/Letters. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of English Language and Literature/Letters as,

A summary of groups of instructional programs that describe the structure and use of the English language and dialects, speech, writing, and various aspects of the literatures and cultures of the English-speaking peoples.*

[*A <u>Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, [1990]. p. 110--23).]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of English Language and Literature/Letters for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institu



tions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of English Language and Literature/Letters for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.

The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given disci-



pline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 27.9 for associate professors of English Language and Literature/-Letters in the 1992-93 public study means that 27.9 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the <u>total</u> average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 0.93 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of English Language and Literature/Letters in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is seven percent lower than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of English Language and Literature/Letters with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



NEW **ASSO** ASST ASST PROF PROF INSTRUCTOR PROF PROF ALL RANKS SALARY NUM N/IN SALARY NUM DISCIPLINE: ENGLISH LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE/LETTERS PUBLIC 1992-93: MAJOR FIELD: English Language and Literature/Letters AVERAGE SALARY: 51088 1481 191 40705 1321 196 32096 1351 191 30464 169 93 23819 581 122 39424 4734 200 FAC MIX 27.9% PCT: 31.3% 28.5% 3.6% 12.3% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 0.94 0.93 0.89 0.88 0.89 0.90 ALL MAJOR FIELDS **AVERAGE** SALARY: 54518 19682 43644 17249 36026 17758 26818 3879 43874 58568 212 34654 2434 FAC MIX PCT: 33.6% 29.5% 30.3% 4.2% 6.6% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: ENGLISH LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE/LETTERS PUBLIC, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: English Language and Literature/Letters AVERAGE SALARY: 55629 1485 193 43432 1367 192 34822 1315 192 32377 230 107 25629 556 113 42774 4723 199 FAC MIX 28.9% 27.8% 4.9% 100.0% PCT: 31.4% 11.8% SALARY FACTOR: 0.93 0.92 0.89 0.89 0.88 0.89 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 59610 20428 47366 18254 38928 17820 36373 2811 29106 3838 47858 60340 212 FAC MIX PCT: 33.9% 30.3% 29.5% 4.7% 6.4% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: ENGLISH LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE/LETTERS PRIVATE, 92-93: MAJOR FIELD: English Language and Literature/Letters AVERAGE SALARY: 50303 997 282 39587 794 271 31764 887 276 29757 118 89 26710 189 99 40044 2867 322 FAC MIX PCT: 34.8% 27.7% 30.9% 4.1% 6.6% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 0.92 0.94 0.910.910.92 0.93ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 54539 11253 42331 10862 34956 11225 32785 1415 28932 1951 43137 35291 337 FAC MIX 31.9% 4.0% PCT: 30.8% 31.8% 5.5% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: ENGLISH LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE/LETTERS PRIVATE, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: English Language and Literature/Letters AVERAGE SALARY: 55397 1061 299 42769 827 273 34526 814 268 32428 142 105 26377 155 81 44221 2857 325 FAC MIX PCT: 37.1% 28.9% 28.5% 5.0% 5.4% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 0.92 0.93 0.91 0.90 0.87 0.93 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 60032 11948 46167 11659 37984 11222 36092 1807 30425 1684 47463 36513 337 FAC MIX PCT: 32.7% 31.9% 30.7% 4 9% 4.6% 100.0%

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of English Language and Literature/Letters was reported in 200 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 4,734 faculty was \$39,424. This average salary was approximately 11.5 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, English Language and Literature/Letters was reported in 199 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 4,723 faculty was \$42,774. This average salary was approximately 11.9 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of English Language and Literature/Letters in the public institutions studied was 8.5 percent (\$42,774 minus \$39,424 equals \$3,350). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in English Language and Literature/Letters average faculty salaries over the three-year period by .1 percent or an average of .03 percent each year above the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of English Language and Literature/Letters (8.5%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of .6 percent more than the faculty in the discipline/major field of English Language and Literature/-Letters.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in English Language and Literature/Letters is higher at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 31.3 percent vs. 28.5 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 31.4 percent vs. 27.8 percent. The differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in English Language and Literature/Letters in the public studies was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 3.6 percent (169/4,734) vs. 4.2 percent (2,434/-58,568) and higher in 1995-96, 4.9 percent (230/4,723) vs. 4.7 percent (2,811/-60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of English Language and Literature/Letters was reported in 322 the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 2,867 faculty was \$40,044, an average salary 7.7 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 325 of the same 337 private institutions reported English Language and Literature/Letters. The average salary of the 2,857 faculty was \$44,221, an average salary 7.3 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in English Language and Literature/Letters in the private institutions studies was 10.4 percent (\$44,221 minus \$40,044 equals \$4,177). The CPI increased cost-of-living be-



tween October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of English Language and Literature/-Letters over the three-year time period, is 2.0 percent or .7 percent each year above the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus \$43,137 equals \$4,326). In comparison to English Language and Literature/Letters (10.4%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries .4 percent (10.4% minus 10.0% equals .4%) less than faculty in English Language and Literature/Letters.

For both studies in the discipline/major field of English Language and Literature/Letters, the faculty mix percentage is higher at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 34.8 percent vs. 30.9 percent (1992-93); and 37.1 percent vs. 28.5 percent, (1995-96). The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in English Language and Literature/Letters was lower than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 4.1 percent (118/2,867) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/-35,291) and higher in the 1995-96 private study: 5.0 percent (142/2,857) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/major field of English Language and Literature/Letters and compares that information with both ALL MAJOR FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years,



from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies—one for public institutions, and the other for private institutions—were conducted for the baseline year and for the trend year—a total of four studies. A total of 7,326 (3.7%) faculty in the discipline/major field of English Language and Literature/Letters participated and were included in the 51 disciplines/major fields in each of the four studies and in the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of English Language and Literature/Letters in 1992-93 were 15 percent and 20 percent below the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in English Language and Literature/Letters in 1995-96 were 14 percent and 19 percent below the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in English Language and Literature/Letters in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of .7 percent above the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was .9 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies in English Language and Literature/Letters, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are lower than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of English Language



public and private studies the discipline/major field of English Language and Literature/Letters is still emerging in the academy.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in English Language and Literature/Letters in the 1992-93 public study was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS. However, in the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1995-96 public study and in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 private studies was higher than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of English Language and Literature/Letters has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.

Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN FOREIGN LANGUAGES AND LITERATURES FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by A Classification of Instructional Programs (CIP), 1990, including Foreign Languages Literatures. defines and The CIP the discipline/major field of Foreign Languages and Literatures as,

> A summary of groups of instructional programs that describe the study of languages other than English, and the study of related aspects of foreign literatures and cultures.*

> Classification of Instructional Programs (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, [1990]. p. 99--16).]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of Foreign Languages and Literatures for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in



both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of Foreign Languages and Literatures for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.

The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT



. 2

factor of 28.5 for associate professors of Foreign Languages and Literatures in the 1992-93 public study means that 28.5 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the total average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 0.94 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of Foreign Languages and Literatures in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is six percent lower than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of Foreign Languages and Literatures with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



NEW ASS0 ASST ASST PROF PROF PROF PROF INSTRUCTOR ALL RANKS SALARY NUM N/IN DISCIPLINE: FOREIGN LANGUAGES AND LITERATURES MAJOR FIELD: Foreign Languages and Literatures PUBLIC 1992-93: AVERAGE SALARY: 52099 467 140 41028 491 143 32925 600 156 31322 93 66 25432 166 66 39705 1724 179 FAC MIX PCT: 27.1% 28.5% 34.8% 5.4% 9.6% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 0.96 0.94 0.91 0.900.95 0.90 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE 36026 17758 SALARY: 54518 19682 43644 17249 34654 2434 26818 3879 43874 58568 212 FAC MIX 33.6% PCT: 29.5% 30.3% 4.2% 6.6% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: FOREIGN LANGUAGES AND LITERATURES PUBLIC. 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Foreign Languages and Literatures AVERAGE SALARY: 57014 44055 547 152 472 146 35896 581 156 32505 75 51 26516 181 72 43045 1781 185 FAC MIX PCT: 26.5% 30.7% 32.6% 4.2% 10.2% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 0.96 0.93 0.92 0.890.910.90 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 59610 20428 47366 18254 38928 17820 36373 2811 29106 3838 47858 60340 212 FAC MIX PCT: 33.9% 30.3% 29.5% 4.7% 6.4% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: FOREIGN LANGUAGES AND LITERATURES PRIVATE, 92-93: MAJOR FIELD: Foreign Languages and Literatures AVERAGE SALARY: 52339 462 174 41419 518 179 32999 585 205 30865 75 57 26736 147 87 40228 1712 271 FAC MIX PCT: 27.0% 30.3% 34.2% 4.4% 8.6% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 0.96 0.98 0.94 0.94 0.92 0.93 ALL MAJOR FIELDS **AVERAGE** SALARY: 54539 11253 42331 10862 34956 11225 32785 1415 28932 1951 43137 35291 337 FAC MIX PCT: 31.9% 30.8% 31.8% 4.0% 5.5% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: FOREIGN LANGUAGES AND LITERATURES PRIVATE, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Foreign Languages and Literatures **AVERAGE** SALARY: 57489 478 177 44678 567 201 36293 555 209 1748 276 33560 82 65 28970 148 86 44189 FAC MIX PCT: 27.3% 32.4% 31.8% 4.7% 8.5% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 0.96 0.97 0.96 0.93 0.95 0.93ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 60032 11948 37984 11222 46167 11659 36092 1807 30425 1684 47463 36513 337 FAC MIX PCT: 32.7% 31.9% 30.7% 4.9% 100.0% 4.6%

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of Foreign Languages and Literatures was reported in 179 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 1,724 faculty was \$39,705. This average salary was approximately 10.5 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, Foreign Languages and Literatures was reported in 185 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 1,781 faculty was \$43,045. This average salary was approximately 11.2 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of Foreign Languages and Literatures in the public institutions studied was 8.4 percent (\$43,045 minus \$39,705 equals \$3.340). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, the relative increase in Foreign Languages and Literatures average faculty salaries over the three-year period was exactly the same as the CPI.

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of Foreign Languages and Literatures (8.4%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of .7 percent more than the faculty in the discipline/major field of Foreign Languages and Literatures.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in Foreign Languages and Literatures is lower at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 27.1 percent vs. 34.8 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 26.5 percent



vs. 32.6 percent. The differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in Foreign Languages and Literatures in the public studies was higher than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 5.4 percent (90/1,724) vs. 4.2 percent (2,434/58,568) and lower in 1995-96, 4.2 percent (75/1,781) vs. 4.7 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The FRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of Foreign Languages and Literatures was reported in 271 the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 1,712 faculty was \$40,228, an average salary 7.2 percent lower than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 276 of the same 337 private institutions reported Foreign Languages and Literatures. The average salary of the 1,748 faculty was \$44,189, an average salary 7.4 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in Foreign Languages and Literatures in the private institutions studies was 9.8 percent (\$44,189 minus \$40,228 equals \$3,961). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of Foreign Languages and Literatures over the three-year time period, is 1.4 percent or .5 percent each year above the cost-of-living.



The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus \$43,137 equals \$4,326). In comparison to Foreign Languages and Literatures (9.8%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries .2 percent (10.0% minus 9.8 equals .2%) more than faculty in Foreign Languages and Literatures.

For both studies in the discipline/major field of Foreign Languages and Literatures, the faculty mix percentage is lower at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 27.0 percent vs. 34.2 percent (1992-93); and 27.3 percent vs. 31.8 percent, (1995-96). The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Foreign Languages and Literatures was higher than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 4.4 percent (75/1,712) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and lower in the 1995-96 private study: 4.7 percent (125/1,699) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/major field of Foreign Languages and Literatures and compares that information
with both ALL MAJOR FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the
"baseline year" of 1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two
studies--one for public institutions, and the other for private
institutions--were conducted for the baseline year and for the trend year--a
total of four studies. A total of 7,326 (3.7%) faculty in the discipline/major



field of Foreign Languages and Literatures participated and were included in the 51 disciplines/major fields in each of the four studies and in the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of Foreign Languages and Literatures in 1992-93 were 15 percent and 20 percent below the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in Foreign Languages and Literatures in 1995-96 were 14 percent and 19 percent below the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in Foreign Languages and Literatures in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of .7 percent above the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was .9 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies in Foreign Languages and Literatures, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are lower than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of Foreign Languages and Literatures is still emerging in the academy.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Foreign Languages and Literatures in the 1992-93 public study was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS. However, in the hiring rate for new assistant professors in



the 1995-96 public study and in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 private studies was higher than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of Foreign Languages and Literatures has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.

Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN GEOGRAPHY FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (<u>CIP</u>), 1990, including Geography. The <u>CIP</u> defines the discipline/major field of Geography as,

An instructional program that describes the systematic study of the spatial distribution and interrelationships of people, natural resources, plant and animal life. Includes instruction in historical and political geography, cultural geography, economic and physical geography, regional science, cartographic methods, remote sensing, spatial analysis, and applications to areas such as land-use planning, development studies and analyses of specific countries, regions and resources.*

[*A <u>Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, [1990]. p. 146--45.0701).]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of Geography for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212



institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of Geography for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for



a given academic rank and discipline/major field.

The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given discipline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 31.3 for associate professors of Geography in the 1992-93 public study means that 31.3 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the <u>total</u> average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 0.98 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of Geography in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is two percent lower than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of Geography with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



FROF SALARY NUM N/IN				ASSO PROF SALARY NUM N/IN			ASST PROF SALARY NUM N/IN			NEW ASSI FROF SALARY NUM N/IN			INSTRUCTOR SALARY NUM N/IN			ALL RANKS <u>SALARY NUM N/IN</u>		
PUBLIC_	1902-91	١.			!	DISC	IPLINE:			IENCES A		STOR	Y					
AVERAGE SALARY:		_	80	42569	185	73	33877		78	32566	Ü	16	27555	15	13	44125	592	101
FAC MIX PCT:				31.3%		. •	27.5%		. •	3.5%			2.5%			100.0%	3.45	1.01
SALARY FACTOR:	0.99			0.98			0.94			0.94			1.03			1.01		
								ALL M	AJOR	FIELDS								
AVERAGE SALARY:	54518	19682		43644	17249		36026	17758		34654	2434		26818	3879		43874	58568	212
FAC MIX	33.6%			29.5%			30.3%			4.2%			6.6%			100.0%		
	PUBLIC, 1995-96: DISCIPLINE: SOCIAL SCIENCES AND HISTORY MAJOR FIELD: Geography																	
AVERAGE SALARY: FAC MIX	58753	224	77	45267	189	74	36742	170	85	35039	30	24	28264	18	16	47373	601	105
PCT: SALARY	37.3%			31.4%			28.3%			5.0%			3.0%			100.0%		
FACTOR:	0.99			-0.96			0.94			0.96			0.97			0.99		
AVERAGE	AVERAGE ALL MAJOR FIELDS																	
SALARY: FAC MIX	59610	20428		47366	18254		38928	17820		36373	2811		29106	3838		47858	60340	212
PCT:	33.9%			30.3%			29.5%			4.7%			6.4%			100.0%		
PRIVATE AVERAGE	PRIVATE, 92-93: DISCIPLINE: SOCIAL SCIENCES AND HISTORY MAJOR FIELD: Geography																	
SALARY: FAC MIX	54956	17	11	42051	10	8	33728	18	12	31500	2	2	28225	14	4	42342	49	21
PCT: SALARY	34.7%			20.4%			36.7%			4.1%			8.2%			100.0%	•	
FACTOR:	1.01			0.99			0.96			0.96			0.98			0.98		
AVERAGE	AVERAGE ALL MAJOR FIELDS																	
SALARY: FAC MIX	54539	13.253		42331	10862		34956	11225		32785	1415		28932	1951		43137	35291	337
PCT:	31.9%			30.8%			31.8%			4.0%			5.5%			100.0%		
<u>PRIVATE</u> AVERAGE	DISCIPLINE: SOCIAL SCIENCES AND HISTORY PRIVATE, 1995-96: MAJOR FIELD: Geography																	
SALARY: FAC MIX	65559	2/4	13	49501	12	10	38704	21	12	40000	2	2	32008	2	2	51597	5 9	22
PCT: SALARY	40.7%			20.3%			35.6%			3.4%			3.4%			100.0%		
FACTOR:	1.09			1.07			1.02			1.11			1.05			1.09		
AVERAGE	AVERAGE ALL MAJOR FIELDS																	
SALARY: FAC MIX	60032	11948	3	46167	11659		37984	11222		36092	1807		30425	1684		47463	36513	337
PCT:	32.7%			31.9%			30.7%			4.9%			4.6%			100.0%		

OPY AVAILABLE



RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of Geography was reported in 101 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 592 faculty was \$44,125. This average salary was approximately .6 percent higher than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, Geography was reported in 105of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 601 faculty was \$47,373. This average salary was approximately 1.0 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of Geography in the public institutions studied was 7.4 percent (\$47,373 minus \$44,125 equals \$3,248). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in Geography average faculty salaries over the three-year period by 1.0 percent or an average of .3 percent each year below the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of Geography (7.4%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of 1.7 percent more than the faculty in the discipline/major field of Geography.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in Geography is higher at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 38.7 percent vs. 27.5 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 37.3 percent vs. 28.3 percent. The



differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in Geography in the public studies was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 4.0 percent (84/2,215) vs. 4.2 percent (2,434/58,568) and lower in 1995-96, 4.1 percent (92/2,266) vs. 4.7 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of Geography was reported in 48 the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 1,516 faculty was \$60,118, an average salary 39.4 percent higher than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 53 of the same 337 private institutions reported Geography. The average salary of the 1,483 faculty was \$65,374, an average salary 37.7 percent higher than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in Geography in the private institutions studies was 21.9 percent (\$51.587 minus \$42,342 equals \$9,255). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of Geography over the three-year time period, is .3 percent or .1 percent each year above the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus



43,137 equals \$4,326). In comparison to Geography (21.9%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries 11.9 percent (21.9% minus 10.0 equals 11.9%) less than faculty in Geography.

For the discipline/major field of Geography, the faculty mix percentage is lower at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 34.7 percent vs. 36.7 percent (1992-93); and in 1995-96 it is higher: 40.7 percent vs. 35.6 percent. The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Geography was higher than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 4.1 percent (2/49) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and lower in the 1995-96 private study: 3.4 percent (2/59) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/major field of Geography and compares that information with both ALL MAJOR FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies--one for public institutions, and the other for private institutions--were conducted for the baseline year and for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of 7,326 (3.7%) faculty in the discipline/major field of Geography participated and were included in the 51 disciplines/major fields in each of the four studies and in the overall total of 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a



variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of Geography in 1992-93 were 15 percent and 20 percent below the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in Geography in 1995-96 were 14 percent and 19 percent below the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in Geography in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of .7 percent above the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was .9 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies in Geography the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are lower than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of Geography is still emerging in the academy.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Geography in the 1992-93 public study was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS. However, in the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1995-96 public study and in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 private studies was higher than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of Geography has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.



Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



SALARY-TREND STUDY OF FACULTY IN GEOLOGY FOR THE YEARS 1992-93 AND 1995-96

By Richard D. Howe

Since 1982-83 the College and University Personnel Association (CUPA) in Washington, D.C., in cooperation with Appalachian State University in Boone, North Carolina, has conducted two annual national faculty salary studies by discipline and rank through 1995-96: one for public senior colleges and universities, and the other for private senior colleges and universities.

Salary data for each study were collected and tabulated for full-time teaching faculty in 51 selected academic disciplines/major fields chosen from among those defined by <u>A Classification of Instructional Programs</u> (<u>CIP</u>), 1990, including Geology. The CIP defines the discipline/major field of Geology as,

An instructional program that describes the scientific study of the earth; the forces acting upon it; and the behavior of the solids, liquids and gases comprising it. Includes instruction in historical geology, geomorphology, sedimentology, the chemistry of rocks and soils, stratigraphy, mineralogy, petrology, geostatistics, volcanology, glaciology, geophysical principles, and applications to research and industrial problems.*

[*A Classification of Instructional Programs (Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, [1990]. p. 131--40.0601).]

This article summarizes the overall average salary increases in the discipline/major field of Geology for both public and private institutions from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 to and including the "trend year" of 1995-96. Of the 269 institutions which participated in CUPA's PUBLIC study of 1992-93, 212 also participated in 1995-96. Data from those same 212 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year. Of the 487 institutions which participated in CUPA's PRIVATE study of 1992-93, 337 also participated in



1995-96. Data from those same 337 institutions were used in both the baseline year and the trend year.

This article lists the average salaries for the discipline/major field of Geology for both public and private participating institutions by rank, including NEW ASST PROF (new assistant professor), the FAC MIX PCT (faculty mix percentage), and the SALARY FACTOR. Comparisons are also made using the CPI's (Consumer Price Index) changes in cost-of-living between the two studies for each of the two study years (1992-93 and 1995-96).

The CPI uses a base period of 1982-84 and measures/tabulates prices of food, clothing, shelter and fuels, transportation, medical care, entertainment, and other goods and services people buy for day-to-day living. When examining trends in faculty salary, it is important to consider any changes in the purchasing power of salaries due to inflation. Comparing changes in the faculty salaries with the CPI gives one a more precise view of what "real" salary increases are, that is, buying power.

The salary is based on a nine- or 10-month academic year salary of full-time faculty, and does <u>not</u> include any faculty teaching less than 51 percent. Salary for summer academic work, fringe benefits, and perquisites are also <u>not</u> included in the salary data. The average salary is based on the study information with the assumption that all employees are full-time. The average salary displayed is an average of all faculty salaries reported for a given rank and discipline.

"NUM" refers to the number of faculty members whose salaries were included to compute the average salary.

"N/IN" refers to the number of institutions that reported salary data for a given academic rank and discipline/major field.

The FAC MIX PCT represents the percentage of faculty in a given disci-



pline/major field who hold a given academic rank. For example, a FAC MIX PCT factor of 29.4 for associate professors of Geology in the 1992-93 public study means that 29.4 percent of the faculty in that discipline/major field held the rank of associate professor.

The SALARY FACTOR for a given rank in a given discipline/major field represents the ratio of the average salary to the <u>total</u> average salary of all institutions in each of the four studies: PUBLIC 1992-93, PUBLIC 1995-96, PRIVATE 1992-93 and PRIVATE 1995-96. For example, a SALARY FACTOR of 0.97 for associate professors in the discipline/major field of Geology in the 1992-93 public study means that their average salary is three percent lower than the average salary for all associate professors in all institutions in that study.

NEW ASST PROF refers to the grouping of assistant professors hired for the first time in the fall of the study year (1992-93 or 1995-96). All information for this group was included in the ASST PROF group for reporting purposes.

ALL MAJOR FIELDS refers to the entire data base for all 51 disciplines/-major fields in each of the four studies. Among other things, it is used to compare the discipline/major field of Geology with the entire data base for each study.

The reader will find the size of the sample on which each percentage or dollar value is based to be of particular importance. The smaller the number in the group, the greater the effect of extreme scores on a descriptive statistic such as the average. It should also be noted that any large disparity in the sample sizes between the "baseline year" of 1992-93 and the "trend year" of 1995-96 will lessen the reliability and validity of any conclusions that one might make based on a simple comparison of averages.



NEW ASSO ASST ASST PROF **PROF PROF** PROF INSTRUCTOR ALL RANKS SALARY NUM N/IN SALARY NUM N/IN SALARY NUM N/IN SALARY NUM_N/IN SALARY NUM N/IN SALARY NUM DISCIPLINE: PHYSICAL SCIENCES PUBLIC 1992-93: MAJOR FIELD: Geology **AVERAGE** 34406 118 60 SALARY: 54063 317 89 42188 185 78 31964 13 11 629 103 25833 46479 FAC MIX PCT: 50.4% 29.4% 18.8% 2.1% 1.4% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 0.99 0.97 0.96 0.92 0.96 1.06 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 54518 19682 43644 17249 36026 17758 34654 2434 26818 3879 43874 58568 212 FAC MIX PCT: 33.6% 29.5% 30.3% 4.2% 6.6% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: PHYSICAL SCIENCES PUBLIC, 1995-96: AVERAGE MAJOR FIELD: Geology 309 86 SALARY: 59617 45845 167 75 50885 37680 130 65 35580 17 15 27612 612 101 FAC MIX PCT: 50.5% 27.3% 21.2% 2.8% 1.0% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 1.00 0.97 0.97 0.98 0.95 1.06 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 59610 20428 47366 18254 38928 17820 36373 2811 29106 3838 47858 60340 FAC MIX 33.9% 30.3% 29.5% PCT: 4.7% 6.4% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: PHYSICAL SCIENCES PRIVATE, 92-93: MAJOR FIELD: Geology AVERAGE SALARY: 62324 121 39 45747 35797 35398 28517 224 57 58 32 42 3/4 3 52605 FAC MIX PCT: 54.0% 1.3% 25.9% 18.8% 3.1% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 1.14 1.08 1.02 1.08 0.99 1.22 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 54539 11253 42331 10862 34956 11225 32785 1415 28932 1951 43137 35291 337 FAC MIX 31.9% PCT: 30.8% 31.8% 4.0% 5.5% 100.0% DISCIPLINE: PHYSICAL SCIENCES MAJOR FIELD: Geology PRIVATE, 1995-96: AVERAGE 31 25 SALARY: 61502 82 39 45867 51 38 39002 34700 32070 51903 58 168 FAC MIX PCT: 48.8% 2.4% 30.4% 18.5% 2.4% 100.0% SALARY FACTOR: 1.02 0.99 1.03 0.96 1.05 1.09 ALL MAJOR FIELDS AVERAGE SALARY: 60032 11948 37984 11222 46167 11659 36092 1807 30425 1684 47463 36513 337 FAC MIX PCT: 32.7% 31.9% 30.7% 4.9% 4.6% 100.0%

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



RESULTS OF THE TWO PUBLIC STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

In the PUBLIC 1992-93 study in the above table, the discipline/major field of Geology was reported in 103 of the 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 629 faculty was \$46,479. This average salary was approximately 5.9 percent higher than the average salary of \$43,874 for all 58,568 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the same 1992-93 public study.

For the PUBLIC 1995-96 salary study in the above table, Geology was reported in 101 of the same 212 public institutions. The average salary of the 2,266 faculty was \$50,885. This average salary was approximately 25.0 percent lower than the average salary of \$47,858 for all 60,340 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 public study.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in the discipline/major field of Geology in the public institutions studied was 9.5 percent (\$50.885 minus \$46,479 equals \$4,406). The CPI of increase cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. In comparison, with the CPI, there was a relative increase in Geology average faculty salaries over the three-year period by 1.1 percent or an average of .4 percent each year above the cost-of-living

The increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS over three years in the public institutions studied was 9.1 percent (\$47,858 minus \$43,874 equals \$3,984). In comparison to the discipline/major field of Geology (9.5%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS received a relative increase in their salaries of .4 percent less than the faculty in the discipline/major field of Geology.

In the 1992-93 study the faculty mix percentage in Geology is higher at the professor rank than at the assistant professor rank: 50.4 percent vs. 18.8 percent; in the 1995-96 study it is 50.5 percent vs. 21.2 percent. The



differences in faculty mix percentage at the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both public studies are 33.6 percent vs. 30.3 percent (1992-93) and 33.9 percent vs. 29.5 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate of new assistant professors in Geology in the public studies was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS in 1992-93, 2.1 percent (13/629) vs. 4.2 percent (2,434/58,568) and lower in 1995-96, 2.8 percent (17/61266) vs. 4.7 percent (2,811/60,340).

RESULTS OF THE TWO PRIVATE STUDIES: 1992-93 AND 1995-96

The PRIVATE 1992-93 salary study in the above table indicates that the discipline/major field of Geology was reported in 57 the 337 private institutions. The average salary of the 224 faculty was \$52,605, an average salary 21.9 percent higher than the average salary of \$43,137 for all 35,291 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study.

In the PRIVATE 1995-96 salary study in the above table, 58 of the same 337 private institutions reported Geology. The average salary of the 168 faculty was \$51,903, an average salary 9.3 percent higher than the average salary of \$47,463 for all 36,513 faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1995-96 private study.

The three-year decrease in average salaries for all faculty in Geology in the private institutions of 1.3 percent (\$51.903 minus \$52,605 equals -\$702). The CPI increased cost-of-living between October 1992 and October 1995 was 8.4 percent. A more realistic increase, therefore, in the average faculty salaries of Geology over the three-year time period, is 9.7 percent or 3.2 percent each year below the cost-of-living.

The three-year increase in average salaries for all faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the private institutions studied was 10.0 percent (\$47,463 minus



\$43,137 equals \$4,326). In comparison to Geology (-1.3%), the faculty in ALL MAJOR FIELDS increased their salaries 11.3 percent less than faculty in Geology.

For both studies in the discipline/major field of Geology, the faculty mix percentage is higher at the professor rank in comparison to the assistant professor rank: 54.0 percent vs. 18.8 percent (1992-93); and 48.8 percent vs. 18.5 percent, (1995-96). The differences in the ranks of professor and assistant professor in ALL MAJOR FIELDS for both private studies are 31.9 percent vs. 31.8 percent (1992-93) and 32.7 percent vs. 30.7 percent (1995-96).

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Geology was lower than the hiring rate in ALL MAJOR FIELDS in the 1992-93 private study: 3.1 percent (7/224) vs. 4.0 percent (1,415/35,291) and lower in the 1995-96 private study: 2.4 percent (4/168) vs. 4.9 percent (1,807/36,513).

CONCLUSION

This article presents salary-trend information on the academic discipline/major field of Geology and compares that information with both ALL MAJOR FIELDS and the CPI over a period of three years, from the "baseline year" of 1992-93 through the "trend year" of 1995-96. Two studies -- one for public institutions, and the other for private institutions--were conducted for the baseline year for the trend year--a total of four studies. A total of 7,326 (3.7%) in the discipline/major field of Geology participated and were included 51 disciplines/major fields in each of the four studies and in the the 190,712 participating faculty. The same 212 public overal1 total of institutions and the same 337 private institutions in the United States participated in the baseline year and in the trend year.

Although the public and private studies data may be interpreted in a



variety of ways, several significant points are as follows. First, in both the public and private studies, the average faculty salary factors in the discipline/major field of Geology in 1992-93 were 15 percent and 20 percent below the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively. In both the public and private studies the average faculty salary factors for all ranks in Geology in 1995-96 were 14 percent and 19 percent below the average salary factors for all ranks in ALL MAJOR FIELDS (1.00), respectively.

Second, the October 1995 CPI reflects a 8.4 percent increase over the October 1992 CPI and indicates that the faculty in Geology in the public institutions received an average annual salary increase of .7 percent above the cost-of-living. In the private institutions the annual average salary increase was .9 percent above the cost-of-living.

Third, in both the 1992-93 and 1995-96 public and private studies in Geology, the professor rank FAC MIX PCTs are lower than those for the assistant professor rank, indicating that in both the public and private studies the discipline/major field of Geology is still emerging in the academy.

Finally, the hiring rate for new assistant professors in Geology in the 1992-93 public study was lower than the hiring rate of ALL MAJOR FIELDS. However, in the hiring rate for new assistant professors in the 1995-96 public study and in the 1992-93 and 1995-96 private studies was higher than the hiring rate for ALL MAJOR FIELDS.

Because a significant data base of average faculty salaries in the academic discipline/major field of Geology has now been developed, it is anticipated that this information will serve as a valuable reference and evaluation tool for interested administrators and professors.



Richard D. Howe is the originator and director of the annual -CUPA faculty salary studies. He is a professor of leadership and educational studies at Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina.

APPENDICES:

- A OVERALL LIST OF SELECTED DISCIPLINES, page 10
- B LIST OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 11
- C LIST OF PRIVATE PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS, page 14



C



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI) Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



NOTICE

REPRODUCTION BASIS

This document is covered by a signed "Reproduction Release (Blanket)" form (on file within the ERIC system), encompassing all or classes of documents from its source organization and, therefore, does not require a "Specific Document" Release form.
This document is Federally-funded, or carries its own permission to reproduce, or is otherwise in the public domain and, therefore, may be reproduced by ERIC without a signed Reproduction Release form (either "Specific Document" or "Blanket").

